```
DBI8CHE1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     CHEVRON CORPORATION,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
5
                                             11 Cv. 0691 (LAK)
                 V.
6
      STEVEN R. DONZIGER, et al.,
 7
                     Defendants.
 8
                                               November 18, 2013
9
                                               9:35 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                            HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN
                                               District Judge
12
                                 APPEARANCES
13
      GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
14
           Attorneys for Plaintiff
     BY: RANDY M. MASTRO
           ANDREA E. NEUMAN
15
           REED M. BRODSKY
           JEFFERSON E. BELL
16
           ANNE CHAMPION
17
      FRIEDMAN RUBIN
18
           Attorneys for Donziger Defendants
     BY: RICHARD H. FRIEDMAN
           DEE TAYLOR
19
20
     LITTLEPAGE BOOTH
           Attorneys for Donziger Defendants
21
     BY: ZOE LITTLEPAGE
           RAINEY BOOTH
22
      GOMEZ LLC
23
          Attorneys for Defendants Hugo Camacho, Javier Piaguaje
     BY: JULIO C. GOMEZ
24
25
```

```
1
               (Trial resumed)
               THE COURT: Good morning.
 2
               What is next? Do you have a witness?
 3
 4
               MR. GOMEZ: Yes. Good morning, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Good morning.
 6
               MR. GOMEZ: Defendants call defendant Javier Piaguaje
 7
      Payaquaje.
8
       JAVIER PIAGUAJE PAYAGUAJE,
9
           called as a witness by the defendants,
10
           having been duly sworn, through Spanish
11
           interpreter, testified as follows:
12
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name for the record.
13
               THE WITNESS: Javier Piaguaje.
14
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. GOMEZ:
15
          Good morning, Mr. Piaguaje.
16
17
      Α.
          Good morning.
18
               MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, may I approach?
19
               THE COURT: Yes.
20
               MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, I have handed the witness
21
      Defendants' Exhibit 1800 and a copy of the exhibits that are
22
      referenced therein.
23
               THE COURT: Let's separate them. Defendants' Exhibit
24
      1800 will be the statement.
25
               MR. GOMEZ: Yes, your Honor.
```

- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, would you please take a look at Defendants'
 Exhibit 1800 that is before you, sir? The first eight pages
 are in Spanish, followed by an English translation and a
 - Sir, do you recognize this document?
- 6 A. Yes.

4

5

7

8

Q. What is this document, sir?

certificate of translation.

- A. This document is my testimony.
- 9 Q. Sir, would you please turn to page 8 of the document?

 10 Do you see a signature there, sir?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is that your signature?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. Did you review this document before you signed it, sir?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When you reviewed it and signed it, were all of the
- 17 | statements therein true and accurate to the best of your
- 18 | knowledge?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And as of now, do all of those statements remain true and
- 21 | accurate?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, at this time, I would like to move in Defendants' Exhibit 1800.
- 25 Paragraph 13 references five exhibits: Defendants'

Exhibit 227, 229, 231, 234 and 236. I would ask that all of those also be moved in at this time.

THE COURT: Well, 1800 is received on the same basis as other statements, that is, subject to objections that will be, I take it, filed if they haven't been already.

Right, Mr. Brodsky?

MR. BRODSKY: I believe they have been filed by us, but if they haven't yet yesterday, they will be this morning, unless your Honor wants to hear from us on the objections specifically on that basis.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1800 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Not now. The exhibits that were handed up, what about that Mr. Brodsky?

MR. BRODSKY: These are a select portion of the asamblea minutes that the defendants produced in selective fashion weeks ago, prior to the start of the trial, having denied Chevron's repeated requests and subpoenas for the production of documents falling squarely, these falling squarely within those subpoenas. Your Honor has that motion before you with respect to redactions that have been made in some of the asamblea minutes. So our objections are as follows in sum.

First, it appears that they are using these asamblea minutes as a sword and a shield. They are shielding what they don't want to be disclose, and they are selectively producing

the minutes that they believe help their case. And your Honor has a sanctions opinion that lays out a lot of the arguments that they make which are frivolous.

Second, many of the items in here are hearsay within hearsay, statements made by certain people about actions that are being taken or taken. So there may be some problems with respect to them on that basis as well.

Finally, your Honor, we have our motion before you seeking to view some of the minutes that have been redacted. Some of them may complete these minutes. It's hard to tell from just receiving a subset.

THE COURT: You want to be heard, Mr. Gomez?

MR. GOMEZ: Yes, your Honor.

To begin with, none of the minutes that are attached to Mr. Piaguaje's statement contain redacted materials.

In addition, as we have stated before, defendants, Mr. Piaguaje and Mr. Camacho, do not control the asamblea. They don't have control over these documents. We have requested all of the minutes of the asamblea to be produced here. We have not provided anyone with instructions to select which minutes to be provided to us or not. And we have produced all of the minutes we have received, including those that contain privileged material, which currently has been filed with the Court for in camera review on the privilege redactions.

In terms of hearsay, I would say that these documents

are not being submitted for the truth of the statements that they contain by various persons. They are being submitted for 2 3 the sole purpose of demonstrating that certain matters were 4 discussed at the meetings that Mr. Piaguaje was in attendance

in and certain decisions were taken.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

5

Now, whether action in conformance with those decisions was actually undertaken is a different question. Piaguaje was present during the meetings, the five minutes that are attached to his statement. He participated in those discussions. And he voted on the decisions that took place at those meetings. That's the limited purpose for their use, and we would ask that they be admitted in evidence.

13

14

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: I am not going to rule now. I would note at least this. That to the extent they are offered for the purpose of demonstrating that certain matters were discussed at the meetings, they are offered for the truth of the statements contained therein, and they are therefore hearsay, but I will consider that further in the fullness of time. Decision is reserved on the exhibits.

19

20

I note, I think, please correct me if I am wrong, that the January 15 minutes are not among those you're offering.

21

MR. GOMEZ: That is correct. Not with this witness.

22 23

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

24

25

Mr. Brodsky, I assume I don't need to MR. GOMEZ: establish through each one that he was present for these five,

DBI8CHE1 Piaguaje - direct and I can hand over the witness. 1 2 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 3 MR. GOMEZ: I don't think there is a dispute that Mr. 4 Piaguaje was present during the five meetings that are 5 referenced in the statement. I can either do a small direct 6 and confirm that or he can stipulate that particular point and 7 I can hand over the witness. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Brodsky. 9 MR. BRODSKY: We have never received these minutes 10 until recently before trial. There was a deposition of Mr. 11 Piaquaje made this year. He was never questioned about any 12 minutes because we didn't have any. I have no idea whether or 13 not Mr. Piaquaje was present simply because the document says 14 it's so. So I think if Mr. Gomez wants to establish that Mr. 15 Piaguaje was present, he should do so. 16 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Gomez. 17 BY MR. GOMEZ: 18 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, you have before you Defendants' Exhibit 227. 19 Would you please locate that exhibit? 20 MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, may I approach so I can help 21 the witness separate everything out?

THE COURT: Yes.

- A. Which one?
- 24 | 0. 227.

22

23

25 Mr. Piaguaje, I would like to direct your attention to

DBI8CHE1 Piaguaje - direct

- Defendants' Exhibit marked DX 0227. Do you have that in front 1
- The number appears in the lower right-hand corner. 2 of you?
- 3 The Spanish version of that appears on page 6 of 9. Do you
- 4 have that before you, sir?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- Sir, would you please take a moment to look at that 6
- 7 document and tell me if you recognize it?
- 8 Mr. Piaguaje, do you recognize the document, sir?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 What is this document, sir?
- 11 This document is the minutes of the assembly in which we
- 12 reached a resolution.
- 13 At the top paragraph of this document, there is a reference 0.
- 14 to March 10, 2012. Were you present at this meeting on March
- 10, 2012, sir? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Why were you there? Q.
- 18 I was here because I am the president of the nationality so
- 19 I had to represent them here.
- 20 Sir, does this document accurately reflect the statements
- 21 that were made and the decisions that were taken at this
- 22 meeting?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 I would move in Exhibit 227. MR. GOMEZ:
- 25 The decision is reserved. THE COURT:

Piaguaje - direct

- Mr. Piaguaje, I would like to direct your attention to 1 exhibit marked 0229 that is before you. The Spanish language 2 3 of this exhibit appears on the last page.
 - Sir, I would ask you to look at this document and tell me if you recognize it?
- 6 Mr. Piaguaje, do you recognize this document, 7 Defendants' Exhibit 229?
 - Α. Yes.

4

5

8

- What is this document, sir? Q.
- 10 This is also minutes in which we make decisions in the 11 meeting.
- 12 This document makes reference to the date April 15, 2011.
- 13 Were you present for this meeting, sir?
- A. Yes. 14
- 15 Q. Does this document accurately reflect the discussions and the decisions made during that meeting? 16
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GOMEZ: I would ask to move in Defendants' Exhibit 18 229. 19
- 20 THE COURT: Decision is reserved.
- 21 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, I would now like to direct your attention to 22 Defendants' Exhibit 231. The Spanish language of this exhibit 23 appears on page 5 of 7.
- 24 Would you please take a moment to look at that 25 document and tell me if you can recognize it?

Piaguaje - direct

- Do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit 231, sir?
- 2 A. I'm still reading it.
- 3 Q. Do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit 231, sir?
- 4 A. Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$. What is it?
- 6 A. It is also the minutes from the assembly.
- 7 | Q. This document makes reference to the dates of February 17
- 8 and 18 of 2011. Were you present for this meeting, sir?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Does this document accurately reflect what was stated and
- 11 decided at that meeting?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. GOMEZ: I would ask to move in Defendants' Exhibit
- 14 | 231.
- 15 THE COURT: Decision is reserved.
- 16 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, I would like to direct your attention to
- 17 | Defendants' Exhibit 234 in front of you. The Spanish language
- 18 version appears on page 4 of 5 of this exhibit.
- 19 Would you kindly take a moment to look at that
- 20 document and tell me if you recognize what it is, sir?
- 21 Mr. Piaguaje, do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit
- 22 | 234?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 \square Q. What is it, sir?
- 25 A. This is regarding a meeting.

Piaguaje - direct

- 1 | Q. A meeting of what, sir?
- 2 A. This document is regarding this meeting in which we decide
- 3 the working points.
- 4 | Q. When you say "we," who are you referring to?
- 5 | A. What was that again?
- 6 Q. When you say "we," who are you referring to?
- 7 A. Well, us, for example, the assembly.
- 8 | Q. This document makes reference to the date March 21, 2011.
- 9 Were you present on that day for this meeting?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do these minutes accurately reflect what was stated and
- 12 what was decided during that meeting, sir?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 MR. GOMEZ: I would move into evidence Defendants'
- 15 | Exhibit 234.
- 16 THE COURT: Decision is reserved.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Piaguaje, I would like to now direct your attention to
- 18 the last exhibit in front of you, Defendants' Exhibit 236. The
- 19 Spanish language of which appears on page 4 of 5 of this
- 20 exhibit.
- 21 Would you please take a moment to look at that
- 22 document and tell me if you can recognize it?
- 23 Mr. Piaquaje, do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit
- 24 236?
- 25 A. Yes.

Piaguaje - direct

- 1 Q. This document makes reference to a meeting of June 27,
- 2 | 2011. Were you present at this meeting on that date?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Does this document accurately reflect what was stated and
- 5 what was decided during that meeting, sir?
- 6 A. Yes.

12

- 7 MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, I would ask to move into 8 evidence Defendants' Exhibit 236.
- 9 THE COURT: Decision is reserved.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, whose responsibility was it to prepare all of these minutes for the asamblea?
- Q. To your knowledge, were minutes always prepared of all the meetings in which you were in attendance?
- 15 THE COURT: It might be more helpful if you rephrase 16 that question because an answer "yes" would mean conceivably,
- as far as he knows, that's true, or it might be an

The secretary and the coordinators.

- 18 all-encompassing declaration, and it might be significant as to
- 19 which it is.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, were minutes prepared of all the meetings in
- 21 which you were in attendance?
- 22 A. Yes. After the meeting was over, everything that was
- 23 resolved at the meeting was written down, and then it was read
- 24 so we could all hear it.
- 25 Q. Was it the regular practice of the asamblea to prepare

Piaguaje - direct

- 1 | these minutes?
- 2 A. Yes, so we could then remember it, have a record.
- Q. Was it the practice of the asamblea to file and save these
- 4 documents, these minutes?
- 5 A. Yes. The secretary.
 - MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, I pass the witness.
- 7 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Brodsky.
- 9 MR. BRODSKY: Just a moment so I can set up.
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. BRODSKY:
- 12 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Piaguaje.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 | Q. You know Pablo Fajardo Mendoza?
- 15 | A. Yes.

- 16 | Q. He is an attorney, correct?
- 17 A. He is an attorney.
- 18 Q. He is your attorney in the case of Maria Aguinda v. Chevron
- 19 | Corporation in the Lago Agrio courthouse?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And there are 47 plaintiffs, including you, in the case of
- 22 | Maria Aquinda v. Chevron?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. In 2006, sir, you and the other plaintiffs authorized
- 25 | attorney Pablo Fajardo Mendoza to represent you and the other

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 plaintiffs as the common attorney, or procurador comun, in the case of Maria Aguinda v. Chevron Corporation? 2 3 In 2006, I don't recall. 4 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor? 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 Mr. Piaquaje, I am going to show you two documents,
 - Plaintiff's Exhibit 323 in evidence and Plaintiff's Exhibit 323B in evidence. If you wouldn't mind, I am going to direct your attention to a particular portion of the document.

With respect to 323B, you see the second document I handed you, the larger of the two?

Do you have that in front of you, sir?

MR. BRODSKY: May I approach to help him identify the document?

THE COURT: Yes.

If you would take a look at the second page, Mr. Piaguaje, of that very document I just handed you, do you recognize on that document your signature on the seventh column down?

THE COURT: I think you meant row.

MR. BRODSKY: Row. Thank you.

- Α. Yes.
- 22 This is something you signed authorizing Pablo Fajardo to 23 represent you in the Lago Agrio Chevron case, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - Then, sir, since that time, 2006 -- you can put the

- 1 document down, Mr. Piaguaje. Since that time, 2006, Pablo
- 2 | Fajardo has been one of the lawyers representing you in the
- 3 | Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Then in 2006, you also signed a document -- withdrawn.
- In 2006, sir, you gave Mr. Fajardo the authority to
- 7 | file motions and make presentations on behalf of the Lago Agrio
- 8 | plaintiffs, correct?
- 9 MR. GOMEZ: Objection to form.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. In 2006, you also gave Mr. Fajardo the authority to waive
- all the judicial inspections, right?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 | Q. You remember the judicial inspections, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you remember Pablo Fajardo telling you that he didn't
- 18 want judicial inspections conducted anymore?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Mr. Fajardo, did he tell you about any threats being made
- 21 | to the presiding judge of the Lago Agrio Chevron case in
- 22 | 2010 -- withdrawn.
- Did he tell you in 2006, did Mr. Fajardo tell you
- 24 about any threats being made to the presiding judge in the Lago
- 25 | Agrio Chevron case?

Piaguaje - cross

1 A. No.

4

5

6

7

2 | Q. In November 2010, you and the other Lago Agrio plaintiffs

3 | in the Aguinda v. Chevron case approved of each and every

action that Mr. Fajardo had undertaken in the case, right?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Form.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. What was that again?
- Q. In November 2010, Mr. Piaguaje, you and the other Lago
- 9 Agrio plaintiffs in the Maria Aguinda v. Chevron case approved
- 10 of each and every action that Mr. Fajardo had undertaken in the
- 11 case, right?
- 12 A. I don't understand the question exactly.
- 13 | Q. Would you mind moving the microphone a little bit closer to
- 14 you, Mr. Piaguaje, and speaking into the microphone, if you
- 15 | don't mind?
- Sir, in November 2010, you signed a document in
- 17 connection with Pablo Fajardo's representation of you, correct?
- 18 A. I don't recall.
- 19 | Q. How many documents, sir, have you signed in connection with
- 20 Mr. Fajardo's representation of you?
- 21 A. I have signed documents, but I don't recall how many
- 22 documents I have signed.
- 23 Q. Mr. Fajardo has asked you to sign some documents over the
- 24 years?
- 25 A. We have signed documents when we have granted him power of

exactly.

Piaguaje - cross

1 attorney.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you remember granting him this power of attorney in 2010?
- A. Well, for example, I want to understand exactly the
 question. I want to understand exactly what power of attorney
 we had granted because I want to understand the question
 - Q. Sir, you just said, we have signed documents when we have granted him, Pablo Fajardo, power of attorney. What did you mean by power of attorney?
 - A. So that he can get -- well, so that he can defend part of us, the plaintiffs, what we are asking for regarding the contamination.
- MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?

 THE COURT: Yes.
 - Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 390 in evidence.
 - MR. BRODSKY: For the record, it's entitled, "Special Power of Attorney and Agency for Judicial Matters. Executed by Armando Wilfrido Piaguaje Payaguaje, et al., in favor of attorney Pablo Estenio Fajardo Mendoza."
 - It's 49 pages. The first 24 pages in English and pages 26 through 49 in Spanish.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me direct your attention to a particular page.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

Piaguaje - cross

Would you turn to page 34 of 49 at the bottom of the page? Or you could look up at the screen.

If we look at this section right here that's highlighted, Mr. Piaguaje, is that your signature on the document?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to certain pages.

8 Do you remember signing this document, Mr. Piaguaje?

- A. Yes, but I don't recall the year. I mean, I did sign it, but I don't recall the year. I don't have the documents with
- 11 me, but I don't recall the year, but this is my signature.
- 12 Q. I understand Mr. Piaguaje. Mr. Piaguaje, before you signed
- 13 | it, you read the document, right?
- 14 A. Yes. But no -- of course, it was explained to me a little.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, you read your witness statement before you signed that, correct, in connection with this case?
- 17 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 A. Regarding my testimony from today?
- 20 | Q. Yes, sir. This morning, when Mr. Gomez showed you Defense
- 21 | Exhibit 1600, your witness statement -- 1800, you read that
- 22 document before you signed it?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And looking at this document 390 --
- MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, just for the record, we will

Piaguaje - cross

move into evidence 323 and this document 390. 1 2 THE COURT: Aren't they in? 3 MR. BRODSKY: I thought they were in, but I received a note from my colleagues to move them in. 4 5 THE COURT: Are you including 323B or not? MR. BRODSKY: And 323B as well. 6 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. GOMEZ: No objection. 9 MR. FRIEDMAN: No. 10 THE COURT: They are received. 11 (Defendant's Exhibits 323, 323B and 390 received in 12 evidence) 13 Q. Let me ask you, Mr. Piaquaje, on page 29 of the document in Spanish -- we can put it up on the screen for you in Spanish on 14 15 the left-hand side, page 4. So on one side 4 and the other 16 side page 49. 17 Directing your attention to where it says, Second, 18 special power of attorney, do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 20 This is where you're giving Mr. Fajardo the power of 21 attorney for judicial matters, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 MR. BRODSKY: Where it says "the principals," right 24 over here, can we highlight that? Down a little bit below. 25 Do you see where it says, "The principals," Mr. Fajardo may

Piaguaje - cross

- on behalf of the principals appear before judges or courts of justice, arbitration or mediation in Ecuador, in the United States of America, or any other country to defend the interests of the principals?
- 5 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

Q. That's where you were giving Mr. Fajardo the power to represent you and the other Lago Agrio plaintiffs in the Lago Agrio Chevron case, right, Mr. Piaguaje?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- 11 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, you authorized with this power of attorney
- 12 Mr. Fajardo to appear before courts throughout the world,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And Mr. Fajardo on your behalf, and on behalf of the other
- 16 | Lago Agrio plaintiffs, could seek to get the judgment
- 17 | recognized around the world, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You haven't revoked Mr. Fajardo's power of attorney to seek
- 20 recognition of the judgment in the Lago Agrio Chevron case,
- 21 | right?
- 22 | A. What was that?
- 23 | Q. Mr. Fajardo still has the power to represent you and the
- 24 other Lago Agrio plaintiffs around the world to get the Lago
- 25 | Agrio Chevron judgment recognized?

DBI8CHE1 Piaguaje - cross

1 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Form.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A. Yes.

2

3

4

8

9

Q. Sir, let me direct your --

THE COURT: Just a second. It's not just a matter of what the documents says. It's a matter of whether this gentleman has ratified what is going on.

- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me ask you to look at the bottom of this document, the last sentence.
- MR. BRODSKY: Can we highlight from "the" through the bottom?
- Q. Would you read that silently to yourself, Mr. Piaguaje, that last sentence?
- 14 A. OK. I have read it.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, in this document that you signed, you
 approved of each and every one of the actions undertaken by
 attorney Pablo Fajardo Mendoza in the Lago Agrio Chevron case,
 right?
- 19 MR. GOMEZ: Objection.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled. The same point I made a minute 22 ago.
- Q. You approved of each and every one of the actions
 undertaken by Mr. Fajardo in all the courts in which he
 represented you, correct?

DBI8CHE1 Piaguaje - cross Yes. 1 Α. 2 And if we go to the next page? Q. 3 MR. BRODSKY: And if we can highlight where it begins 4 "all financial" through the end of the sentence? 5 You see that on the top? It says "all financial 6 administrative acts." 7 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, you approved of all the financial and 8 administrative acts which Mr. Fajardo carried out for your 9 defense in the Lago Agrio Chevron case? 10 A. Yes. 11 (Continued on next page) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

Piaguaje - cross

- Q. And you approved of all the financial acts Mr. Fajardo
 carried out through other people that he legally authorized on
 your behalf?
 - MR. GOMEZ: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. That includes Mr. Fajardo -- withdrawn.
- That includes, Mr. Piaguaje, how Mr. Fajardo raised money to litigate the Chevron, the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 10 A. I do not understand that question.
- Q. You have approved of how Mr. Fajardo and others working
 with him have raised money in connection with the Lago Agrio
- 13 | Chevron case?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Does Mr. Fajardo tell you how the money is raised?
- 18 A. Yes, to pay the attorneys for that defense.
- Q. And you know Mr. Fajardo is working with other people to
- 20 | raise money to pay for lawyers?
- A. Yes, so that they will move forward with this process, with this case, the trial.
- Q. And you approve of Mr. Fajardo's actions to raise money, correct?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Yes, because we want to accomplish our goal.
- 3 Q. Let me ask you to turn to two pages later, page 7 in
- 4 English, and page 32 in Spanish. Let me direct your attention
- 5 to where it says three term and if we can highlight that
- 6 sentence.

- 7 Mr. Piaguaje, you agree with me that you and the other
- 8 | Lago Agrio plaintiffs gave Mr. Fajardo this power of attorney
- 9 | for an indefinite period of time?
- 10 | A. I don't understand Spanish that well, but still I need to
- 11 | know a little more.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Piaguaje, when you gave Mr. Fajardo this power in 2010,
- 13 | there was no termination or end date?
- 14 A. Right, for what?
- 15 Q. To represent you, correct?
- 16 \parallel A. No, there was no end.
- 17 | Q. And this, under this power of attorney, you and the other
- 18 | Lago Agrio plaintiffs had the right to revoke Mr. Fajardo's
- 19 power, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, we were -- we could do that. But if we did that, then
- 21 | we wouldn't have, we would no longer have a person that would
- 22 be helping us.
- 23 | Q. You would no longer have the person you want most to
- 24 | represent you; is that right?
- 25 A. What was that again?

- 1 Q. Pablo Fajardo is the person you most want -- withdrawn.
- 2 Pablo Fajardo, Pablo Fajardo is the person you and the
- 3 other Lago Agrio plaintiffs have chosen as the person best
- 4 | suited to represent you?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You know there are other lawyers in Ecuador?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You've chosen him?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | O. You trust him?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You like what he's done for you so far?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection, vague.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 Q. You approve -- withdrawn.
- 16 Now, you've spoken with Mr. Fajardo about the Lago
- 17 | Agrio Chevron litigation at several meetings of the asamblea
- 18 | over the years, right?
- 19 A. Yes, he has reported during the assembly.
- 20 | Q. And outside of those asamblea meetings, you've met with him
- 21 | in person on several occasions?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Is it your testimony that outside the asamblea meetings
- 24 | you've never met with Mr. Fajardo?
- 25 A. Well, yes, stops by to say hello.

- 1 And besides stopping by to say hello, you've had meetings with him outside the assembly, right? 2
- 3 A. No, because I live inside, I live in the community. I'm
- hardly ever outside. 4
- 5 On sometimes you're outside the community, right?
- Yes. 6 Α.
- 7 And on some occasions you actually have traveled abroad?
- 8 Α. Me?
- 9 Q. Yes, you, sir.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And there are some occasions when you've had meetings with
- 12 Mr. Fajardo outside your community?
- 13 You mean just Pablo and myself? Α.
- 14 Pablo, yourself, and other people, or just Pablo and
- 15 yourself.
- A. We haven't had meetings like that like outside of the 16
- 17 meeting, nothing, no.
- 18 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes. 19
- 20 Q. Mr. Piaquaje, let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 2407R.
- 21 Let me ask you to turn to the last page. Do you recognize your
- 22 signature on that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Is it accurate when it says that you certified that before
- 25 signing this, the contents of the document were translated to

- 1 you in Spanish?
- 2 A. Let me read that for a minute.
- 3 | Q. Please, sir.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Am I correct, sir, that you read that -- it's accurate that
- 6 you, the contents of this document were translated to you in
- 7 | Spanish before you signed it?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. And it's correct, sir, that in that certification, you
- 10 certified that, among other things, the response No. 6 in this
- 11 document contained facts and matters that were within your
- 12 personal knowledge?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Can I ask you to --
- MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, I just want to read
- 16 something into the record on page 24. Page 24, this paragraph
- 17 | right here, Randall, if we could blow that up.
- 18 | THE COURT: And you're offering that?
- 19 MR. BRODSKY: I'm offering the paragraph, yes, the
- 20 supplemental response to interrogatory No. 6 between these
- 21 three paragraphs right there.
- 22 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. GOMEZ: No, your Honor.
- 24 MR. FRIEDMAN: No.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

record?

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2407R, specified paragraphs
 2 received in evidence)
 3 MR. BRODSKY: Should I read it, your Honor, for the
 - THE COURT: It's not necessary.
- 6 MR. BRODSKY: Okay. We can take that down. Thank
 7 you.
 - Q. You know Steven Donziger, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.

4

5

- 10 | O. You see him in this courtroom?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Without pointing, would you tell us where he is by
- describing an article of clothing that he's wearing and where
- 14 he is in the courtroom?
- 15 A. He's sitting beside my attorney, Julio Gomez.
- 16 THE COURT: Indicating Mr. Donziger.
- Q. Mr. Fajardo told you that he hired Mr. Donziger to work on your behalf?
- A. Well, we have authorized my attorney, Pablo Fajardo, to do that.
- Q. And Mr. Fajardo told you that he hired Mr. Donziger to work on your behalf, right?
- A. Well, he didn't tell me on my behalf for myself as a plaintiff, but for the group, for the group of plaintiffs.
- 25 Q. Mr. Fajardo told you he had hired Mr. Donziger to represent

- 1 | you and all the other Lago Agrio plaintiffs?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And you met Mr. Donziger in person several years ago,
- 4 | right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, you met Mr. Donziger on at least three occasions,
- 7 | right?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Several years ago, correct?
- 10 A. Years back.
- 11 | Q. And the purpose of each of those meetings -- withdrawn.
- 12 The purpose of each of those three meetings that you
- 13 | had with Mr. Donziger was to discuss the lawsuit against
- 14 | Chevron in Lago Agrio?
- 15 | A. Well, when I, well, at that time when I met him, it's not
- 16 | like I knew all of that much. But what we did know and what
- 17 | all of us did know is all about the contamination and the fact
- 18 | that we all wanted to get help to resolve that contamination,
- 19 | which is what we were doing.
- 20 Q. Sir, is the answer to my question that the purpose of each
- 21 of those three meetings that you had with Mr. Donziger was to
- 22 | discuss the lawsuit against Chevron in Lago Agrio yes?
- 23 | A. Not all of them, because it's not like I had a meeting
- 24 | together with Mr. Steven, but it was --
- 25 | Q. Sorry.

- 1 A. -- I did not have a direct conversation with Steven.
- 2 Q. You were in a meeting with Mr. Donziger and other
- 3 | individuals?
- 4 A. Yes, but I wasn't there with him for a long time, just for
- 5 | a while. But, yes, I did see him there.
- 6 | Q. And putting aside the amount of time, Mr. Piaguaje, that
- 7 | you spent with Mr. Donziger and others on the three occasions
- 8 | that you were meeting with Mr. Donziger and other people, the
- 9 purpose was to discuss the lawsuit against Chevron in Lago
- 10 | Agrio, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, you know, sir, that documents have been filed in
- 13 | foreign countries seeking recognition of the judgment issued in
- 14 | the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 15 A. I don't understand that very well.
- 16 | Q. Are you aware that your -- withdrawn.
- Are you aware that your name and the name of the other
- 18 | Lago Agrio plaintiffs appears on a document filed in Brazil
- 19 | seeking the recognition of the judgment in Lago Agrio?
- 20 | A. Well, I haven't exactly seen the document, but I was told,
- 21 | they explained something about that. I know that.
- 22 | Q. Who's they?
- 23 A. They were talking at the assembly.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} . Who is they?
- 25 A. For example, Pablo was speaking, but it was almost like the

Piaguaje - cross

- time to leave and I was already on my way out so I wasn't able
 to hear it all very well.
 - Q. When you say Pablo, you mean Pablo Fajardo?
- 4 | A. Yes.

3

7

8

9

11

15

16

- Q. And I take it that because you were on your way out of that meeting -- withdrawn.
 - Was it towards the end of the meeting that Mr. Fajardo reported to you and others that a lawsuit was filed in Brazil seeking recognition of the judgment?
- 10 A. That I have heard.
 - Q. Who did you hear that from?
- 12 A. It's what I was just saying about the meeting, but I have not seen documents.
- 14 | Q. I see. Mr. Fajardo reported -- withdrawn.
 - Mr. Fajardo told you and others at this meeting that a document was filed in Brazil seeking recognition of the judgment?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And prior to Mr. Fajardo informing you of that, there
 20 wasn't a vote by the assembly, right?
- 21 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, vague.
- 22 | THE COURT: Overruled. Sustained. Be more specific.
- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, prior to Mr. Fajardo telling you that papers
 were being filed in Brazil seeking recognition of the judgment,
 you and others at this meeting did not take a vote about

Piaguaje - cross

1 | whether to file those papers?

- A. Well, no, I haven't seen that vote, but we do want to accomplish what we are seeking.
 - Q. And Mr. Fajardo has the power that you've conferred on him and others in the Lago Agrio case have conferred on him to file these lawsuits around the world to seek recognition of the
- 7 | judgment?

4

5

6

8

9

15

16

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you know, sir, that Mr. Fajardo -- withdrawn.
- 10 Mr. Fajardo, did Mr. Fajardo tell you that he and 11 others filed a claim against Chevron in Canada?
- A. Well, the truth is I can talk about what I know. We have,
 we have said like here the big company, they don't want to
 admit, they don't want to pay. We want to find a way.
 - Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me interrupt you because my question is, sir, did Mr. Fajardo tell you that he and others filed a claim against Chevron in Canada?
- 18 | A. I'm -- I don't understand what the question is saying.
- Q. Were you present for any discussions, sir, with Mr. Fajardo
 and others in which Mr. Fajardo discussed that papers were
 filed in Canada seeking recognition of the judgment in Lago
- 22 Agrio?
- 23 A. Yes, I've heard.
- 24 | Q. Did Mr. Fajardo tell you that?
- 25 A. Yes.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Piaguaje - cross

- Q. And did Mr. Fajardo tell you also that a petition was filed in Argentina seeking recognition of the Lago Agrio judgment?
 - A. Yes, I have heard.
- Q. And isn't it true, sir, that Mr. Fajardo has told you and the other Lago Agrio plaintiffs that he will continue to seek enforcement of this judgment in Lago Agrio in other countries?
 - A. I haven't heard that.
 - Q. Are you aware one way or the other whether there's a list of approximately 30 countries in which Mr. Fajardo and others working with him are trying to -- withdrawn.

Are you aware, sir, of a list of approximately 30 countries around the world in which Mr. Fajardo and others have said they will seek to enforce the judgment in Lago Agrio?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection, privilege, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained as least at to form and then we'll see what happens.

Q. Has Mr. Fajardo spoken to the asamblea about seeking to enforce the Lago Agrio judgment against Chevron in 30 countries around the world?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection, privileged.

THE COURT: Answer yes or no, please.

- A. What, 30 countries?
- 23 | O. Yes.
- 24 A. No, I haven't heard that.
- 25 Q. You haven't heard -- do you know who Juan Pablo Saenz is?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Have you heard Mr. Fajardo speaking to the press or the
- 3 | media as recently as November 13, 2013, last week, about trying
- 4 to get recognition for the judgment in Lago Agrio around the
- 5 | world?
- 6 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, relevance.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled. Goes to threatened irreparable
- 8 | injury at least.
- 9 A. No, I'm not updated. I didn't understand.
- 10 | Q. Did you not understand my question, sir?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Have you heard Pablo Fajardo speaking to the media, the
- 13 press, about --
- 14 A. No, I haven't heard, no, no.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Now you're a defendant in this case, in this RICO
- 16 action, in this courthouse, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And Chevron has filed a lawsuit against you and other
- 19 people, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you of course have read the allegations against you,
- 22 || right?
- 23 A. Excuse me, I didn't understand your question exactly, the
- 24 question.
- 25 Q. You know -- withdrawn.

- DBILCHE2 Piaguaje - cross You're aware of the allegations that Chevron has made 1 in this case, in this courthouse, against you, sir? 2 3 Regarding the whole trial? 4 Sir, you're aware Chevron has filed allegations in a Q. 5 complaint against you? 6 Where, in Ecuador? Α. 7 In this courthouse. 8 Α. No. 9 Q. You have lawyers who have been representing you in this 10 case, right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. Mr. Julio Cruz -- withdrawn. Mr. Julio Gomez is one of your lawyers, correct? 13 14 Yes. Α. 15 THE COURT: Let's take a break here. 16 (Recess) 17 THE COURT: Let's continue. 18 MR. BRODSKY: Thank you, your Honor. Q. Mr. Piaguaje, in addition to Julio Gomez, you've been 19 20 represented in connection with this case by Larry Veselka, 21 correct?
- 22 | A. Larry?
- 23 | O. Yes.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And Jarrod Stewart as well?

DBILCHE2 Piaguaje - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Mr. Veselka and Mr. Stewart visited you in Ecuador in
- 3 connection with this case?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you've received updates about what's happening in this
- 6 case, correct?
- 7 A. What do you mean?
- 8 | Q. You have learned about Chevron's allegations against you in
- 9 | this case?
- 10 A. Complaints.
- 11 | Q. You've learned about those complaints?
- 12 A. Complaints, yes.
- 13 | Q. And you've discussed those complaints in this case with
- 14 Pablo Fajardo, correct?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection, vague.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Hasn't Mr. Fajardo provided you with legal advice in
- 19 connection with this case?
- 20 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, privilege.
- 21 THE COURT: The question doesn't call for the
- 22 substance. Overruled.
- 23 Answer yes or no.
- 24 | A. No.
- MR. BRODSKY: One moment, your Honor.

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 | Q. We'll come back to that, Mr. Piaguaje.
- Did you inform Mr. Fajardo you were coming here to testify?
 - A. This time, for this time, for this testimony?
- 5 Q. Yes, for this time, this week.
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. And the last time you testified earlier this year in this
- 8 | courthouse, you informed Mr. Fajardo you were testifying,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did you seek Mr. Fajardo's permission to testify?
- 12 A. Well, I didn't seek it. I was told I had to come here to
- 13 testify before this judge to testify and that's what I'm doing,
- 14 | testifying to what I know, what is the truth.
- 15 | Q. Did Mr. Fajardo tell you he was not going to testify?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 Q. Did Mr. Fajardo inform the asamblea that he was not going
- 18 to testify?
- 19 A. No, I didn't hear that.
- 20 Q. Did the asamblea make any decisions in connection with
- 21 | whether with Mr. Fajardo would come here to testify?
- 22 | A. Well, I have not been involved in the assembly recently. I
- 23 | don't know.
- 24 | Q. When did you stop being involved in the asamblea?
- 25 A. 2012.

- 1 Q. When in 2012?
- 2 A. Well, I've been participating in the meetings in Lago
- 3 Agrio.
- 4 | Q. When in 2012?
- 5 A. What do you mean what part?
- 6 Q. When in 2012 did you stop participating in the asamblea?
- 7 A. I don't recall exactly the month because it was when, well,
- 8 | I finished my term as president of my nationality in the first
- 9 | half of the month of June.
- 10 | Q. Did you participate in any asamblea meetings this year?
- 11 A. I don't think so, no, I don't think so.
- 12 | Q. And nobody from the asamblea -- withdrawn.
- Did you tell Mr. Yanza you were coming here to
- 14 testify?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. Mr. Yanza is the coordinator of the asamblea, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Sir, just before we move on to one other topic, I wanted to
- 19 | ask you with respect to the power of attorney, do you remember
- 20 the questions I asked you and the answers you gave regarding
- 21 | the power of attorney and you gave to Mr. Fajardo?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, form.
- 24 THE COURT: The objection as to form is overruled.
- 25 | Q. Mr. Piaguaje, since conferring that power of attorney on

Piaguaje - cross

Mr. Fajardo, you and the other Lago Agrio plaintiffs have never 1 2 revoked it, correct? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. No, you've never revoked it, right? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. Mr. Piaguaje, let's go on to one other thing, couple 7 other things. 8 Before we get to the asamblea a little bit, I want to 9 talk a little bit about your background and experience. Let me 10 direct your attention to your declaration. Do you have that in front of you, Defense Exhibit 1800? I always get the number 11 12 wrong. Here is Defense Exhibit 1800. 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 5.
- 2 MR. BRODSKY: Can we put the English and the Spanish 3 side by side?
- Q. You testified at your deposition in May of this year in Spanish, right?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. You wrote your direct testimony today in Spanish, right?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And let me direct your attention to page 2, paragraph 7, 10 the last sentence.
- What were your responsibilities as president of the entire Siekopai nation during those two two-year terms?
- 13 A. I was president two times.
- 14 | Q. What were your responsibilities?
- 15 A. Well, the people of my nationality elected me president so 16 that I could obtain benefits for our community.
- 17 Q. What did you do to obtain those benefits?
- A. I was making efforts to obtain benefits which we weren't
 able to obtain yet, regarding issues such as water, education,
 many other things.
- 21 | Q. You have travelled outside -- withdrawn.
- You travelled to the United States in 1997, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. That was during your first term as president of the
- 25 | Siekopai nation?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. During that trip you visited Miami, right?
- 3 A. Miami, Boston, and Washington.
- 4 Q. By Washington you mean, Washington, D.C.?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And in 1998 you visited Peru?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. In 2010, approximately, you visited Venezuela?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. In 2010, you visited Brazil?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And you have an e-mail account, right, Mr. Piaguaje?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You have a Facebook account, right?
- 15 \parallel A. That too.
- 16 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. BRODSKY: One moment, your Honor. I have just got
- 19 to do a quick organization here.
- 20 Let me ask my colleague to do that while I move on to
- 21 something else.
- 22 | Q. We will get back to your Facebook in a moment, Mr.
- 23 | Piaguaje.
- 24 Mr. Piaguaje, your Facebook account is in Spanish,
- 25 | right?

Piaguaje - cross

1 A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

- 2 Q. We will get back to that in a minute.
- Staying with your declaration, sir, let me direct your attention to page 2, paragraph 11.

What do you mean by settlers in paragraph 11?

6 Sir, what do you mean by settlers?

- A. Settlers are the people from outside who want to live there in the Amazon region.
- Q. Would you agree, sir, that the only purpose of the Asamblea de Afectados por Texaco is to address the case in Ecuador against Chevron, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned the Tarapoa field at least twice in your declaration, right?
- THE INTERPRETER: What is the name of the field?

 MR. BRODSKY: T-A-R-A-P-O-A.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 8. You want
 Chevron to clean up that field, right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was the Tarapoa contaminated by Texaco or TexPet?
- A. At that time, I was young, I didn't do anything. I would say it was the Texaco company.
- Q. Do you have any idea of who actually operated the Tarapoa field?
- 25 A. Well, I really cannot say because at that time I didn't

- 1 know anything.
- Q. Sir, have you made any effort, you yourself, to find out
- 3 | who operated the Tarapoa field?
- 4 A. Yes. I first started to find out about this subject who
- 5 was causing the spills, I have heard some settlers mention the
- 6 Texaco company, because that's how I started to find out a bit
- 7 \parallel at a time.
- 8 | Q. Let me direct your attention to the Tarapoa field itself,
- 9 just isolated to the Tarapoa field. Do you have any personal
- 10 | knowledge as to who contaminated that field?
- 11 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Relevance, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 13 A. That's what I told you earlier that some persons, settlers,
- 14 | told me it was Texaco, but I can't tell you personally. That's
- 15 | why I trusted in them that it was Texaco.
- 16 | Q. Sir, the asamblea has nothing to do with addressing
- 17 contamination by Petroecuador, right?
- 18 A. To start a trial again?
- 19 | Q. The asamblea, you're a member of, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. The asamblea that has the name Texaco in it, right?
- 22 | A. What do you mean? Of course I hear the title, but what do
- 23 | you mean? I don't know.
- 24 | THE COURT: You're belaboring this point.
- MR. BRODSKY: Yes, your Honor. Understood.

Piaguaje - cross

- Q. Is it your testimony, sir, that each affected field, and directing your attention to paragraph 11, each affected field or region is part of the asamblea?

 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Form.
- A. What is in my statement, what I have written, for example, in my statement and what is written is what I have seen
 - Q. Are you familiar with the Huaorani?

THE COURT: Overruled.

10 | A. Yes.

personally.

5

8

- 12 Q. Aren't they one of the indigenous groups in this region?

 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Vague.
- 13 | THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 A. In Orellana, but on the other side of the Napo River.
- Q. Would they fall within, your words in paragraph 11,
 affected field or region or indigenous nation affected by
 petroleum contamination?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Form.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And they are not part of the asamblea, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, they do belong to it.
- Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that the Huaorani have sued, filed a lawsuit, against Steven Donziger and the Amazon defense front in this courthouse stating they are not part of the asamblea?

- 1 A. Well, I don't know anything about that part.
- 2 Q. Have you seen any representatives of the Huaorani at your
- 3 | asamblea meetings?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. When did you see them?
- 6 A. When I was there in 2012, in early 2012.
- Q. So it's your testimony, sir, that they are part of the
- 8 assembly?
- 9 A. Yes. Because the Huaorani do participate there, at least
- 10 as far as I saw.
- 11 Q. Your witness statement, sir, does not mention the Amazon
- 12 Defense Front, correct?
- 13 | THE COURT: It either does or it doesn't.
- 14 | Q. You're not a member of the Amazon Defense Front, correct?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | O. You have never been a member?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. Who runs the Amazon Defense Front?
- 19 A. The truth is I don't know. It's administered or run by the
- 20 president of the Amazon Defense Front.
- 21 | Q. Who is the president?
- 22 | A. Well, when I was there, it was always the president Ermel
- 23 | Chavez, but I don't know now.
- 24 | Q. You have agreed to give a portion of the judgment in the
- 25 | Lago Agrio Chevron case to the ADF, the Amazon Defense Front,

- 1 | right?
- 2 A. You mean when we win?
- 3 Q. You understand that a judgment was issued in the Lago Agrio
- 4 | Chevron case, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you understand you have agreed, you and the other Lago
- 7 | Agrio plaintiffs, have agreed to give a portion of the judgment
- 8 | in that case to the Amazon Defense Front?
- 9 A. Are you asking me if I have heard that?
- 10 | Q. I am asking if you agree with me that you have agreed to
- 11 give a portion of the judgment in the Lago Agrio Chevron case
- 12 | to the Amazon Defense Front?
- 13 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Form. Vague.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled. There is nothing vague about
- 15 || it.
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Sir, is it fair to say you do not want to give the Amazon
- Defense Front 10 percent or more of the judgment?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 THE COURT: Ambiguous.
- 21 | Q. Is that no, you do not want to give the Amazon Defense
- 22 | Front 10 percent or more of the judgment?
- 23 A. Well, at the moment or up to now, I haven't heard anything
- 24 about that from my group of giving them 10 percent or anything.
- 25 | I myself haven't heard that.

Piaguaje - cross

- Q. So in none of the asamblea meetings that you attended, nobody ever informed you that the Amazon Defense Front was going to receive at least 10 percent of the judgment?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you have ceded all rights to the Lago Agrio judgment to the Amazon Defense Front?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. I didn't understand your question very well.
- Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that you have ceded, given up, all rights to the proceeds from the Lago Agrio judgment to the Amazon Defense Front?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Vague.
- 14 THE COURT: There is nothing vague about it, sir.
- 15 | Overruled.
- 16 | A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
- 17 | Q. You understand what the Lago Agrio judgment is, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. You understand that the Lago Agrio judgment has states -- withdrawn.
- You understand that, according to the Lago Agrio judgment, money will be given to certain people, correct?
- 23 | A. No.

- 24 | Q. You understand that the Lago Agrio judgment -- withdrawn.
 - You understand, sir, that a judge in Lago Agrio issued

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

Piaguaje - cross

- a judgment ordering Chevron to pay billions of dollars to
 certain people and groups, correct?

 A. I would like to hear the question again, please.

 MR. BRODSKY: Can we ask the court reporter to re-
 - MR. BRODSKY: Can we ask the court reporter to read back the question?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Record read)

- A. Well, what I don't understand here -- what I understood was that Chevron wanted to pay a judge money. So I am not understanding this guestion.
 - MR. BRODSKY: Move to strike, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Stricken, apart from his statement that he doesn't understand the question.
- Q. Let's do it this way, Mr. Piaguaje. Do you have

 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2407R? Do you have that in front of you?
 - We will put it on the screen, sir. It's in English anyway.
- MR. BRODSKY: Can we go to the last page.
- 19 Q. Sir, do you remember I asked you questions about this 20 certification that you made?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you agree, sir, that you certified that response number
- 23 | 11, among others, contain facts within your personal knowledge?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. BRODSKY: Can we turn to page 29, supplemental

25

Piaguaje - cross

response to interrogatory number 11, and blow up where it says 1 "respondent has ceded." 2 3 Q. You agree, sir, that you certified that you, the 4 respondent, had ceded all rights to the proceeds from the Lago 5 Agrio judgment to the Amazon Defense Front, correct? 6 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. The witness has been asked and 7 answered the question, and he cannot understand the document that's on the video screen. 8 9 THE COURT: He has been asked the question many times, 10 and he has repeatedly responded that he doesn't understand it. 11 So that portion of the objection is overruled. 12 The point about the language of course is apt. 13 let's go to the Spanish. Put them both up there and direct his 14 attention to the Spanish. 15 MR. BRODSKY: I do not have the Spanish version. certified in the document on page 43 that before signing the 16 17 responses, the contents were translated to him in Spanish. 18 THE COURT: So you're offering that first sentence of 19 the second paragraph of the supplemental response to 20 interrogatory number 11. 21 MR. BRODSKY: I am, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Received. 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2407R received in evidence) 24 Sir, when you attended the meetings of the asamblea, they

were at Selva Viva's offices, right?

- 1 A. Yes, when there were meetings of the committee.
- 2 | Q. You received notification of the meetings by e-mail,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. No, by telephone.
- Q. Did you ever receive notification of an asamblea meeting by
- 6 e-mail?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 | Q. I am showing you, Mr. Piaguaje, a multipage document,
- 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 6724 for identification, Bates number JP55.
- 12 | The first page is in English and the third page is the
- 13 | translation in Spanish. So please turn to the third page.
- 14 | It's an e-mail, dated November 8, 2010, from Luis Francisco to
- 15 | a number of e-mail addresses.
- 16 Have you had a chance to read it?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Does your e-mail address appear as a recipient of this
- 19 | e-mail?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Does this relate to an asamblea meeting?
- 22 | A. I think it was about a meeting of the committee.
- 23 Q. A meeting of the committee of the asamblea?
- 24 A. Yes. Part of the assembly, but only the presidents of the
- 25 | indigenous nations and the settlers.

25

Piaguaje - cross

MR. BRODSKY: We offer 6724. 1 2 THE COURT: Received hearing no objection. 3 MR. GOMEZ: No objection. 4 MR. FRIEDMAN: No objection. 5 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6724 received in evidence) 6 Did this committee meeting of the asamblea take place on 7 November 12, 2010? 8 I'm not familiar with this document very much, and I don't 9 remember the exact date of when it was. 10 You produced this document, did you not? Ο. 11 Α. To whom? 12 You gave it to your lawyers who gave it to Chevron 13 Corporation in this case. 14 Yes. Α. 15 Q. Do you know whether or not there are minutes -- regardless of whether you remember the exact date, do you know whether or 16 17 not there are minutes to this meeting that took place in Plaintiff's Exhibit 6724? 18 19 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. It assumes facts. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. 21 Yes. There may be, but I don't remember exactly. When we 22 have meetings, there are minutes taken, but I don't remember 23 the exact date. 24 In collecting documents in connection with this case, did

you ask anyone for the minutes of the asamblea meetings?

- 1 A. Did I ask the secretary?
- 2 | Q. Or anybody at the asamblea.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Who did you ask?
- 5 | A. Once I told Luis I think. No, it was Pablo. I told Pablo
- 6 | to give me the minutes of the meeting so that I can remember
- 7 | because I live far away and I haven't yet gotten them.
- 8 Q. By Pablo, you mean Pablo Fajardo?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?
- 11 A. When I say Pablo, it's Pablo Fajardo.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Piaguaje, I am going to show you another document you
- 13 produced in this case, Plaintiff's Exhibit 6714 for
- 14 | identification, Bates labeled JP 65. The third page is in
- 15 | Spanish Mr. Piaguaje.
- Do you recognize the document, Mr. Piaguaje?
- 17 | Mr. Piaquaje, do you recognize your e-mail address?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. That's an e-mail exchange that you had with Luis Yanza,
- 20 correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Relating to an asamblea meeting?
- 23 A. Yes. He sent me these, but this was some time ago, these
- 24 | e-mails back before, and I don't really pay a lot of attention
- 25 | to my e-mail. I read them quickly and then I move on. I don't

```
Piaguaje - cross
      spend a lot of time reading e-mail.
1
 2
               MR. BRODSKY: We offer 6714.
 3
               MR. GOMEZ: No objection.
 4
               MR. FRIEDMAN: No objection.
 5
               THE COURT: Received.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6714 received in evidence)
 6
 7
      Q. Now, sir, directing your attention to Luis Yanza's e-mail
      to you on November 15, 2010, you remember, sir, there were
8
9
     discussions in the asamblea meetings when you were on the
10
      executive committee about legalizing the existence of the
      asamblea?
11
12
          Yes, I do remember that.
13
          These discussions started in late 2010, right?
      0.
14
               MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Vague.
15
               THE COURT: Overruled.
     A. Well, as I said to you earlier, when I was president of my
16
17
      nation, I began attending to these things.
     Q. And the discussions about legalizing the existence of the
18
      asamblea started in the latter half of 2010, correct?
19
20
               MR. GOMEZ: Objection. It calls for a legal
21
      conclusion.
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
               THE COURT: Overruled.
24
               MR. BRODSKY: May I approach?
25
               THE COURT: Yes.
```

Piaguaje - cross

- Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me show you another e-mail that you produced in this case, JP 77 to JP 79. The last three pages are in Spanish.
 - This is another e-mail exchange, sir, you had, correct, with Luis Yanza?
- 6 | A. Yes.

4

- Q. Directing your attention to the bottom of the first page, starting with "the main purpose of this workshop," you
- 9 attended, Mr. Piaguaje, this workshop on December 3 and
- 10 December 4, 2010, right?
- 11 A. Let me try to remember. Yes, it seems so.
- Q. And that workshop was about getting ready to receive money in the Lago Agrio Chevron case, right?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 | A. Yes. It was for all manner of work, of planning work.
- Q. Planning to manage the money from a judgment in the Lago
 Agrio Chevron case, right?
- 19 A. Yes, it seems so. That's what I recall.
- 20 | Q. And if you look at the second page, sir, you see how Luis
- 21 Yanza's title is coordinator of the Texaco case, the ADF? Do
- 22 you see that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. What was Mr. Yanza's responsibilities as the coordinator of
- 25 | the Texaco case, the ADF?

25

Piaguaje - cross

1 MR. BRODSKY: Withdrawn. 2 THE COURT: I think you may be misreading the 3 document. 4 Q. Does it say there, Luis Yanza, coordinator of the Texaco 5 case? 6 MR. BRODSKY: Let me withdraw that question and ask a 7 better one. Q. What were Mr. Yanza's responsibilities as coordinator of 8 9 the Texaco case at asamblea meetings? 10 Α. Luis Yanza's job? 11 Ο. Yes. 12 He coordinates us, the indigenous nations, when we have 13 assemblies, so that we can express our views. 14 Is it fair to say --Q. 15 THE COURT: Let him finish. 16 MR. BRODSKY: I apologize. 17 For the indigenous nations and the settlers. 18 Q. Is it fair to say, Mr. Piaguaje, that Mr. Yanza and Mr. 19 Fajardo set the agenda for the asamblea meetings? 20 A. Yes. Well, at the first meeting, we decide what we are 21 going to address, and then Luis Yanza, he is our coordinator, 22 he does that. My lawyer, Pablo Fajardo, deals with the legal 23 aspects, and as far as the legal aspects, I don't know much 24 about that. That's up to the attorneys.

Sir, is it fair to say the executive committee of the

- asamblea made the decisions, according to you, about how the funds that are raised to finance the judgment for collection of the judgment are spent?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Did the asamblea determine what percentage of the judgment was going to go to the attorneys?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did the asamblea determine how much money Mr. Donziger would be paid on a monthly basis?
- 10 | A. No.
- Q. Did the asamblea determine how much money Fajardo, Pablo Fajardo was paid on a monthly basis?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. Before the Lago Agrio judgment was issued in February 2011, did the asamblea discuss whether to give Pablo Fajardo 10 percent of the total fees going to the lawyers?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection. It assumes facts.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did you know, prior to the issuance of the Lago Agrio
 judgment in February 2011, that Pablo Fajardo signed an
 agreement with the representative of the asamblea giving Pablo
 Fajardo the right to 10 percent of all of the attorneys' fees?
- 24 | A. No.
- MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?

Piaguaje - cross

THE COURT: You may.

Q. Mr. Piaguaje, let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 559A for

identification, which is a multipage document. The first 11

pages, sir, are in Spanish and pages 13 through 23 are in

English.

3

4

5

8

9

6 MR. BRODSKY: For the record, the title of the

7 document is retainer agreement. The Bates number is Woods

45416 to 45426.

- Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Let me ask you to turn to page 10 of the document, the
- 12 | signature page.
- I would like you to turn to the signature page in the
- 14 English version, sir. So it's page 22 of 23.
- MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, with your permission, may I
- 16 | help the witness?
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 | Q. It's also on the screen, Mr. Piaguaje.
- Do you recognize that distinct signature of Ermel
- 20 Gabriel Chavez Parra, president it says of Frente de Defensa de
- 21 | la Amazonia?
- 22 | A. I don't recall the signature very well because I haven't
- 23 seen it much.
- Q. Do you know who Ermel Gabriel Chavez Parra is?
- 25 A. Ermel Chavez, I know who he is.

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 Q. Who is he?
- 2 A. Well, I have seen that Ermel Chavez was the president of
- 3 | the Amazon Defense Front.
- 4 Q. Have you seen Luis Yanza's signature in the past?
- 5 A. Of course I have seen it, but I don't recall what his
- 6 | signature looks like.

- 7 | Q. What about Pablo Fajardo?
 - A. Likewise, I couldn't say because I don't know it very well.
- 9 Q. Did Mr. Chavez ever mention to the asamblea, prior to the
- 10 | issuance of the judgment in the Lago Agrio case in February
- 11 | 2011, that Pablo Fajardo was going to receive 10 percent of the
- 12 | attorneys' fees in the case?
- 13 A. I didn't hear that.
- 14 | Q. Did Mr. Yanza ever tell the Asamblea de Afectados por
- 15 | Texaco that he was going to sign an agreement giving Pablo
- 16 | Fajardo 10 percent of the attorneys' fees in the case?
- 17 A. I didn't hear that.
- 18 | Q. Now, Mr. Donziger never provided you and the Lago Agrio
- 19 | plaintiffs with an accounting of how money was spent by him in
- 20 connection with the Lago Agrio Chevron case, right?
- 21 MR. DONZIGER: Objection. Vague. Lago Agrio
- 22 | plaintiffs.
- 23 THE COURT: Mr. Friedman is the lawyer on this
- 24 witness.
- MR. DONZIGER: I don't know if it is clear.

Piaguaje - cross

THE COURT: Well, it is clear, because he is the one 1 who has indicated no objection to various exhibits. 2 3 Friedman has an objection, I will hear it. You know this rule. 4 We set it up before the trial. 5 MR. DONZIGER: I represent another party per the Court's order. 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Friedman is the lawyer. 8 Mr. Friedman, do you have a problem? 9 MR. FRIEDMAN: I quess I would say vague, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I quess I would say overruled. 11 MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, can I ask the reporter to read back the question. 12 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 (Record read) No. 15 Α. 16 Did you ever ask Mr. Donziger for an accounting of how he 17 spent the money in connection with the Lago Agrio Chevron case? 18 Α. No. Did the asamblea ever ask Mr. Donziger for an accounting of 19 20 how he spent funds raised in connection with the Lago Agrio 21 Chevron case? 22 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, your Honor. During his 23 service? 24 THE COURT: He is capable of answering to the best of 25 his knowledge.

- 1 | A. I have not heard that.
- 2 | Q. Did you ever hear of the Amazonia Recovery Limited?
- 3 A. From whom?
- 4 | Q. From anybody at the asamblea.
- 5 A. Because of this trial?
- 6 Q. Have you ever heard of a company called Amazonia Recovery
- 7 | Limited ever?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you hear from anyone at the asamblea, including
- 10 Mr. Yanza and Mr. Fajardo, that an entity was going to be
- 11 | formed in Gibraltar that was going to receive the money from
- 12 | the Lago Agrio Chevron judgment?
- 13 A. Yes. What we have set up is a trust that would, if we win,
- 14 would manage the money that we would receive.
- 15 | Q. Pablo Fajardo told you this?
- 16 A. Well, yes, Pablo Fajardo, yes. If we win, if we win money
- 17 | from this, to be able to manage it properly, we set up a fund
- 18 so it would be a good accounting and good management of the
- 19 money.
- 20 | Q. Did you participate in any discussion with Mr. Fajardo or
- 21 | others at the asamblea that any money received from the Lago
- 22 | Agrio Chevron judgment would be managed in a trust outside of
- 23 | Ecuador?
- 24 A. No, in Ecuador itself.
- 25 | Q. Are you aware one way or the other whether -- withdrawn.

25

Piaguaje - cross

1 Who told you that the money would be received in Ecuador as opposed to outside of Ecuador? 2 3 Objection. It assumes facts. MR. GOMEZ: 4 THE COURT: The witness just testified that the trust 5 was set up to handle the money and it would be in Ecuador. Overruled. 6 7 Could you please repeat the question? Who told you that any money received from the Lago Agrio 8 9 Chevron judgment would be managed in a trust in Ecuador itself? Well, we have the coordinators. You have Luis Yanza and 10 11 you have Humberto Piaquaje as coordinators, and they inform us 12 of what is going on, because for my part I don't know anything 13 about this so they inform us. 14 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. I am showing you, Mr. Piaguaje, two exhibits, Plaintiff's 16 17 Exhibit 7701 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 7700. If we take the first one, 7701 first, it's Bates 18 labeled JRIZACK 35 through 42, and the first eight pages are in 19 20 Spanish and pages 10 through 17 are in the original English. 21 Have you ever seen this document before, sir? 22 Α. No. 23 Did you, sir, have any knowledge that 21 million, more than 24 \$21 million has been spent in connection with the Lago Agrio

litigation by your attorneys between 2007 and 2013?

25

Piaguaje - cross

MR. GOMEZ: 1 Objection. It assumes facts. THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 2 3 Q. Are you aware, sir, of how much money has been spent in the 4 Lago Agrio Chevron case and related litigation by Mr. Donziger 5 and those working with him between 2007 and 2013? 6 Α. No. 7 In looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 7700, would you take a moment to look at the Spanish portion? This is Bates number 8 9 JRIZACK 14 -- it's got different Bates numbers on it, but we 10 will just go with, at the top it says Steven Donziger & 11 Associates. It has an address in New York, New York. 12 On the first page it has the date of February 2, 2012. 13 And then it has a different date every month from February 2012 14 through July 2012. 15 Have you ever seen this document before, Mr. Piaguaje? 16 Α. No. 17 Did you know Mr. Donziger was receiving \$35,000 a month 18 from January 2012 through at least July 2012 in professional service fees? 19 20 MR. FRIEDMAN: I would object. I don't think that 21 fact has been established. 22 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 23 MR. BRODSKY: If I can offer this document subject to 24 connection, 7700 and 7701.

THE COURT: Any objection?

Piaguaje - cross

1 MR. FRIEDMAN: To offering the document, no. Subject to connection. 2 MR. GOMEZ: 3 THE COURT: All right. They are both received subject 4 to connection. 5 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 7700 and 7701 received in 6 evidence) 7 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, if you look at the first page of 7700, in Spanish, you see where it says Steven Donziger & Associates at 8 9 the top? 10 Α. This here? 11 Ο. Yes. 12 Did you know, sir, that Mr. Donziger received \$35,000 13 a month from at least February 2012 through July 2012 in 14 professional service fees? 15 MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection again, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 16 Q. Were you aware, sir, of how much Mr. Donziger received per 17 18 month from February 2012 to July 2012 in professional service fees? 19 20 A. No. 21 MR. FRIEDMAN: I would object as to form. 22 THE COURT: That's not objectionable as to form, but 23 it might be helpful and more expeditious if counsel remembered 24 that in the practice of law, there can sometimes be a 25 difference between billed and received, like in most other

Piaguaje - cross

economic activities. After you're out of the U.S. attorney's 1 2 office a couple of more years, you will remember. 3 MR. BRODSKY: I never did a securities fraud case, 4 your Honor. That was a joke for the record, a bad one. 5 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, were you aware that Mr. Donziger and 6 associates charged \$35,000 a month in professional service fees 7 each month from February 2012 through at least July 2012? MR. FRIEDMAN: Object, your Honor, on form. 8 9 THE COURT: What is the objection? Obviously, you can 10 see its authenticity. So it's in. It looks like a bill from 11 Mr. Donziger. And who do you suppose that bill would be 12 rendered to other than the Lago Agrio plaintiffs? 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

25

Α.

Piaguaje - cross

MS. FRIEDMAN: Well, that's exactly the point, your 1 I don't think it's clear who that bill is rendered to 2 Honor. 3 and --4 THE COURT: Do you have another candidate? MS. FRIEDMAN: Well, there's not even -- I don't 5 6 think -- my understanding of the source of these documents, 7 your Honor, is a -- it's not actually an accountant, but 8 Mr. Rizack, who kept various financials for Mr. Donziger. I 9 don't even know, I don't think it's been established these were 10 ever even sent. 11 THE COURT: It seems to me that the document is 12 sufficient to permit the question. And then if you want to 13 deal with it in some other way, that's your privilege, of 14 course. 15 MS. FRIEDMAN: All right. 16 A. No. 17 MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, I was going to go to a 18 different part. Happy to do it now. I'll go forward. 19 Q. Mr. Piaquaje, can you turn back to your witness statement, 20 Defendant's Exhibit 1800. We'll put it up on the screen for 21 you. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 40, which is on 22 page 7. Do you see where it says I have -- withdrawn. 23 Directing your attention to that paragraph, 24 Mr. Piaguaje, what did you mean by "ratify"?

It would be to repeat again it means.

- 1 | Q. Did you choose -- I'm sorry.
- 2 Did you choose that word, Mr. Piaguaje, or did your
- 3 | lawyer choose that word, ratify?
- 4 A. My attorney.
- 5 Q. What did you mean, what court rules are you talking about
- 6 | in paragraph 40 where you say violation of court rules by
- 7 anyone?
- 8 A. With the rules it means, how can you explain this, well,
- 9 | you have to follow -- you have to follow what is being done,
- 10 | for example.
- 11 Q. Were you referring to court rules in Ecuador or court rules
- 12 | in the United States or both?
- 13 A. Well, in Ecuador.
- 14 | Q. Let me ask you to turn to paragraph 18, page 4. The first
- 15 | sentence there, what did you mean by extort money?
- 16 | A. To lie.
- 17 | Q. Let me ask you to turn to paragraph 36, page 6. When you
- 18 wrote this, sir, in paragraph 36, you meant, of course, that
- 19 | you've never had knowledge of actions taken by Mr. Donziger
- 20 prior to Chevron filing its complaint against you in 2011,
- 21 | right?
- 22 | A. Once again?
- 23 | Q. Sir, did you, when you wrote this that you'd never had any
- 24 knowledge of actions taken by Mr. Donziger in New York, did you
- 25 mean you don't have any personal knowledge?

4

5

6

7

8

- A. No. I'm aware that he's supporting with this part of the trial.
 - Q. Since the complaint against you by Chevron and -- withdrawn.

Since the complaint by Chevron against you and others was filed, you've learned about the documentary film Crude, right?

- A. What do you mean, if I had seen the movie Crude?
- 9 Q. Did you, sir, in your deposition, did you view a portion of the outtakes or of the movie Crude?
- 11 | A. The photographs?
- 12 Q. Did you watch any movie, a video clip during your
- deposition, sir?
- 14 A. Where, in Lima?
- 15 | Q. Yes.
- 16 A. No.

20

- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 31. You were a plaintiff, sir, in the Aguinda v. Texaco case filed in this
- 19 very courthouse in Manhattan, New York, in 2003, right?
 - THE COURT: I think, Mr. Brodsky, you've got the year wrong by about a decade.
- 22 MR. MASTRO: '93.
- MR. BRODSKY: Thank you, your Honor.
- Q. You were a plaintiff in the Aguinda v. Texaco case filed in this very courthouse in 1993?

25

Piaguaje - cross

1 THE COURT: Let me help you a little further, sir. This courthouse didn't exist in 1993. 2 3 MR. BRODSKY: I meant metaphorically, your Honor. THE COURT: Let's stick to the real analog world. 4 5 MR. BRODSKY: I'll move on, your Honor. I'll move on. 6 Mr. Piaquaje, let me direct your attention to other 7 paragraphs. Paragraph 18, page 4, last sentence, prior to Chevron complaining. 8 9 Sir, when did you learn Chevron was complaining about 10 your attorneys intimidating or pressuring a judge? I don't know the date. 11 12 Ο. Was it in 2011? 13 Α. Yes, after the judgment. 14 When Chevron filed this complaint against you and others? Q. 15 Α. For example, yes, here where it says, yes, exactly. And in paragraph 19, is your answer the same, that you 16 17 learned about Chevron's complaining about your attorneys 18 drafting a complaint against an Ecuadorian judge when the 19 allegations were filed against you and others? 20 Objection, vaque. MR. GOMEZ: 21 THE COURT: Rephrase it. 22 In paragraph 19 where you state prior to Chevron's 23 complaining about it, do you see that, the "it" is what you 24 mentioned, correct, that your attorneys, that you never

authorized your -- withdrawn.

25

Piaguaje - cross

1 That you learned Chevron was complaining your attorneys drafted a complaint against an Ecuadorian judge, 2 3 correct? 4 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. Please, a cleaner 5 question. 6 MR. BRODSKY: Sorry. 7 THE COURT: It's hard enough to get it in English. Q. Paragraph 20, do you see that, Mr. Piaguaje, did you learn 8 9 that Chevron was complaining that your attorneys put pressure 10 over the judge presiding over the Lago Agrio case when Chevron 11 filed allegations against you and others? 12 Α. Yes. 13 In paragraph 21, did you learn about Chevron's allegation 0. 14 that your attorneys threatened to file a complaint against 15 Judge Yanez unless your attorneys -- unless Judge Yanez allowed 16 the plaintiffs to waive the judicial inspections when Chevron 17 filed its complaint against you and others? A. Let's see. I can't answer because I'm not understanding 18 19 the question very well. 20 THE COURT: Let's take our lunch break. 2 o'clock, 21 please. 22 (Luncheon recess) 23 24

AFTERNOON SESSION

2:09 p.m.

THE COURT: There's a matter that I want to discuss before we resume and the witness should leave the room for the time being.

(Witness not present)

THE COURT: I received over the lunch hour a request from Chevron for an order to show cause with respect to the hard drives, hard drive, or, I think to be more precise, the images of the hard drive of Mr. Moncayo's computer. Among other things, the papers represent that Mr. Gomez is now representing Mr. Moncayo.

First of all, is that right, Mr. Gomez?

MR. GOMEZ: That's correct, your Honor. I was informed yesterday that we are out of funds to pay for Mr. Russell Yankwitt to continue representation. Given that the amount of work involved has exceed our expectations, there being no other person that could step in, I've elected to step in. And I had a discussion with Mr. Russell yesterday evening at 11 p.m. to understand what the current status is of the reviews and to arrange for transfer of the file today.

THE COURT: Has the hard drive been imaged?

MR. GOMEZ: The hard drive was copied. And my understanding, your Honor, is that a firm, RVM, has possession of the drive and is indexing its contents. As of last night, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recall seeing an email that that process was still underway and was expected to be completed at some point today, but I don't know that it's been completed yet or when it's expected to be completed exactly.

THE COURT: And there are two copies or one?

MR. GOMEZ: My understanding is that there are I believe three copies, your Honor: one that's filed under seal with the court last week, one in the possession of RVM, and one that went back with Mr. Moncayo.

THE COURT: So you're telling me that one is with the clerk of the court; is that right?

MR. GOMEZ: That's my understanding, your Honor, that it was filed under seal last week.

THE COURT: And the indexing, tell me what that's about.

MR. GOMEZ: I haven't had any of these communications, your Honor. My understanding is that there is a vendor that plaintiff has agreed to pay for who has received the one of the copies of the drives and is required to index, essentially create a list of all of the computer files that are on the drive.

THE COURT: And that's RVM.

MR. GOMEZ: That's RVM.

THE COURT: All right. And where is it intended that this index go?

MR. GOMEZ: Mr. Moncayo is in Ecuador.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Mastro, I read your proposed order. You're asking me to order various people or organizations who are not parties to this case to do things.

MR. MASTRO: Well, the difficulty, your Honor, is that an organization called Earth Rights is claiming some kind of privilege claim. We don't know how they possibly could. But, you know, we're trying to deal with these issues so that we get the documents in a timely way to be able to use in these proceedings. It's also the case, your Honor, so we've spelled out in our application why we think they have no rights and they haven't tried to appear here.

THE COURT: I understand that. I understand that. But they're not even parties to the case.

MR. MASTRO: I understand.

THE COURT: There's no standing here.

MR. MASTRO: They have been very litigious in the past, your Honor, in trying to obstruct discovery of Amazon Watch and otherwise. So, therefore, we felt we needed to make application to the Court about being able to receive these documents, including, your Honor, the emails which were also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

imaged but not deposited with the Court. And Mr. Yankwitt told us last night that he was prepared to produce emails and a privilege log this morning. So that's something that should be able to go forward forthwith, but for Earth Rights which we think has no understanding here saying, oh, we should have to review it first.

THE COURT: If, as, and when they intervene in this case, I'll deal with any assertions they may make. I would simply say this. All concerned ought to take very careful legal advice before anything further happens.

Mr. Gomez, you are directed forthwith, as soon as you leave this courtroom today, to complete whatever review you feel you need to make on behalf of Mr. Moncayo and, if Mr. Moncayo has any privileges to assert, to do it as you see fit and forthwith. And I am going to entertain an application, to which you will have an opportunity to respond, and it may be orally, to direct you forthwith to turn over any responsive materials as to which no privilege is claimed and to move on an extremely expedited schedule as to anything else.

Do you understand me?

MR. GOMEZ: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed. Let's get the witness back.

MR. BRODSKY: Just for your information on scheduling, your Honor, I have maybe 15, 20 minutes left.

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

2 (Witness present)

> THE COURT: All right. The witness is reminded he's still under oath.

> > Let's proceed, Mr. Brodsky.

MR. BRODSKY: May I approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. BRODSKY:

- Q. I'm showing you, Mr. Piaguaje, Plaintiff's Exhibits 2241 through 2247 and 6730 for identification. Would you take a moment to look at those photographs.
- 12 Mr. Piaguaje, these are photographs, correct, from 13 your Facebook account?
- 14 Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And you updated your Facebook page frequently, correct?
- When, when I leave where there is a internet signal. 16
- 17 So in, for example, Plaintiff's Exhibit 6730 for
- 18 identification, those are photographs that you uploaded during
- 19 your trip here late last week?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you access the internet to upload these photographs,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes, in Facebook.
- 24 Q. And on a regular -- withdrawn.
- 25 When you have the ability to access the internet, you

DBILCHE4b

Piaguaje - cross

1 know how to do that, correct?

A. A little.

MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, we offer 2241 through 2247 and 6730.

MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, I have an objection to these documents on the grounds of relevance.

THE COURT: The relevance, Mr. Brodsky?

MR. BRODSKY: At least in two respects. First, I think they reflect evidence of a sophistication in terms of uploading and using and accessing the internet. And, your Honor, reasonable inferences can be drawn from that that if Mr. Piaguaje wanted to learn information about the case or the allegations or what steps are being taken or the Court's findings, he can do that.

And, second, these are all uploaded by him in Spanish, and I think they're his messages in Spanish which reflect that that's the language that he's using when he's uploading images to his Facebook account.

MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, for one, I don't think the images establish the kind of understanding of the internet that one would need to conduct searches of various items using search engines. I think they're two different things, or at least the questioning hasn't established that he possesses that kind of expertise in terms of use of the internet.

And, two, with respect to the language, I think

DBILCHE4b

25

Piaguaje - cross

1 Mr. Piaquaje has been testifying today in Spanish. I don't think there's a dispute that he speaks and understands the 2 3 language. 4 THE COURT: Well, actually he said earlier that he 5 spoke it a little or understood it a little, something to that 6 effect. 7 MR. GOMEZ: Yes, that's correct, your Honor. I don't 8 think that the photographs or Facebook pages one way or the 9 other really add to that particular testimony. 10 THE COURT: That all goes to the weight. They're all 11 received. 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 2241 through 2247 and 6730 13 received in evidence) 14 Q. Mr. Piaguaje, just a few final questions focusing on the period of late 2010. 15 Do you recall attending asamblea meetings in the 16 17 latter half of 2010? 18 I do not remember. Α. 19 Do you remember --Q. 20 MR. BRODSKY: Well, may I approach, your Honor? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 Q. Let me show you, Mr. Piaguaje, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7019 for 23 identification, Bates labeled LAP1660 through 1662. And the 24 first few pages, Mr. Piaguaje, are in English. And if you go

to the back, the last three pages are the Spanish original.

- Does this refresh your recollection, Mr. Piaguaje,
 regarding topics of conversation at asamblea meetings in late
 2010?

 A. Well, I don't remember.

 Q. If I can direct your attention to the first page of the
 minutes where it says confirmation of quorum and direct your
- minutes where it says confirmation of quorum and direct your attention to OISE. Do you recognize what OISE is?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What is it?
- 10 A. That's the indigenous organization of the Secoya of 11 Ecuador.
- Q. And at this time in 2010, you were the delegate from OSIE, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, from June on.
- 15 | Q. From June 2010 through 2012?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- MR. BRODSKY: We offer 7019, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Received without objection.
- 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7019 received in evidence)
- Q. And directing you to the third page, at the top of the page, do you remember Mr. Yanza presenting a proposal of support for Steven Donziger?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Does this document refresh your recollection at all of a discussion in late 2010 at asamblea meetings regarding attacks,

Case 1:11-cv-00691-LAK-RWL Document 1820 Filed 12/10/13 Page 78 of 153 2445 DBILCHE4b Piaguaje - cross so-called attacks on Mr. Donziger? I'm sorry, I didn't hear it very well. Could you repeat the question. Q. Does this document refresh your recollection at all that there was discussion at asamblea meetings in late 2010 about Chevron's allegations relating to Steven Donziger? A. Yes. Humberto Piaguaje commented that to me. (Continued on next page)

Piaguaje - cross

- 1 Q. And the asamblea issued an agreement of support for Mr.
- 2 Donziger?
- 3 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 4 MR. BRODSKY: May I approach for the final time, your 5 Honor?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- 7 Q. Let me show you, Mr. Piaguaje, a document that you
- 8 produced. It's Plaintiff's Exhibit 6703. The first part is in
- 9 | English. The document you produced starts from pages 6 through
- 10 | 9 in Spanish and it's Bates number JP 3 through JP 6.
- Do you remember producing this document, Mr. Piaguaje?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. This is an informational bulletin put out by the assembly
- 14 of the affected by Texaco?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Directing your attention to the third page, paragraph 4.
- 17 We will put it up on the screen for you, too, Mr. Piaguaje.
- Does this refresh your recollection, Mr. Piaguaje,
- 19 | that the asamblea expressed support for Mr. Donziger in late
- 20 | 2010?
- 21 A. Well, at that time, I wasn't aware of this. When I was
- 22 | asked, I gave this document but I didn't have a thorough
- 23 | knowledge of it.
- 24 | Q. You don't recall reading the document at the time?
- 25 A. Well, yes, about struggling for 17 years or fighting for 17

1	years, but not much more detail than that.
2	MR. BRODSKY: No further questions, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	Redirect, Mr. Gomez.
5	MR. GOMEZ: Before I begin, for the sake of
6	completeness, Mr. Brodsky made reference to Plaintiff's Exhibit
7	6407R. In particular, Mr. Piaguaje's response to
8	interrogatories number 6 and 11. I would ask that the entirety
9	of those responses be moved into evidence for the Court's
10	consideration as opposed to just portions or one sentence.
11	THE COURT: Any problem, Mr. Brodsky?
12	MR. BRODSKY: When Mr. Gomez says the entirety, if he
13	means the portion below supplemental response to the
14	interrogatory and above the next interrogatory, no objection.
15	MR. GOMEZ: That's what I mean.
16	THE COURT: Those additional portions are received.
17	Was there an intention to offer 6703?
18	MR. BRODSKY: Yes, your Honor. We offer that. I
19	believe I may have omitted offering 559A.
20	THE COURT: One thing at a time.
21	Is it offered for the truth or is it offered simply as
22	a statement?
23	MR. BRODSKY: Simply as a statement.
24	THE COURT: 6703 is received not for the truth.
25	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 6703 received in evidence)

DBI8CHE5 Piaguaje - cross

1 THE COURT: What was the other one?

MR. BRODSKY: 559A is the agreement between Mr.

Fajardo, Mr. Yanza, and the leader of the asamblea.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. GOMEZ: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 559A received in evidence)

- REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. GOMEZ:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Goods afternoon, Mr. Piaguaje.
- 11 A. Good afternoon.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Piaguaje, who is Humberto Piaguaje?
- 13 A. He is the vice coordinator or sub-coordinator of the
- 14 assembly of the affected.
- 15 Q. Is that the position he held when you were a member of the
- 16 executive committee or the position he holds presently?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did Humberto Piaguaje hold the position of vice
- 19 | sub-coordinator of the asamblea when you were on the executive
- 20 committee in year 2010 through 2012?
- 21 | A. Yes.

- 22 | Q. Does Mr. Piaguaje, Mr. Humberto Piaguaje, hold the position
- 23 | of vice sub-coordinator of the asamblea at present?
- 24 A. No. Now he is the coordinator.
 - Q. What is the responsibility of the sub-coordinator of the

Piaguaje - redirect

1 asamblea?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GOMEZ: Withdrawn. 2

- Q. What is the responsibility of the coordinator of the asamblea?
- That person coordinates all matters related to this trial, that is, he is a liaison or a link with the attorneys, as well as with us, with the communities.
- Q. Is he responsible for preparing the agendas of the asamblea meetings?

MR. BRODSKY: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- 12 What are the specific tasks that he is required to perform 13 as coordinator?
 - A. Well, as the category, I am not very familiar. It's not a position that I myself have held. But he works with the indigenous communities and with the attorneys deciding or working with us to decide what we will do, the presidents of all of the indigenous nations and the settlers as well.
 - Q. Why was the asamblea created?

MR. BRODSKY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. What is the purpose of the executive committee in the asamblea? 23
- 24 The executive committee holds meetings with representatives 25 of all of the indigenous nations as well as the cooperative,

Piaguaje - redirect

- 1 part of the affected, the persons affected.
- 2 Q. How many persons sat on the executive committee of the
- 3 asamblea when you were a member of it between 2010 and 2012?
- 4 A. I don't know how to tell you exactly how many. There is a
- 5 representative from all of the indigenous nationalities, as
- 6 | well as the coordinator and the representative of the Amazon
- 7 Defense Front. So exactly I don't know, 10, 12.
- 8 Q. You mean 10 to 12 people?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Which indigenous nationalities were represented on the
- 11 executive committee on the asamblea when you were a member of
- 12 | it between 2010 and 2012?
- 13 A. The Kofan and the Siona, the Kichwa, the Siekopai, my own,
- 14 | the settlers. Those all meet in the committee.
- 15 Q. Who did the settlers represent?
- 16 A. Themselves.
- 17 | Q. How were they selected, the settlers, to participate on the
- 18 | executive committee?
- 19 A. Each one of the cooperatives was given a chance to be
- 20 represented on the executive committee.
- 21 | Q. When you say cooperative, what are you referring to?
- 22 | A. In Ecuador, when settlers get together and form a group in
- 23 | a community of sorts, that's what a cooperative is. We use the
- 24 word comunidad in Ecuador really to refer to indigenous people
- 25 so it's a cooperative.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Piaguaje - redirect

- Q. Can you identify some of the cooperatives that were represented in the executive committee when you were a member between 2010 and 2012?
- A. Yes. I know the communities, but I don't know the names of all of the cooperatives, the settler communities.
 - Q. How were the representatives of the indigenous groups who sat on the executive committee of the asamblea selected?

MR. BRODSKY: Objection. Foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Who selected the representatives of indigenous groups to sit on the executive committee of the asamblea?
- MR. BRODSKY: Same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. GOMEZ: What is the objection?

THE COURT: There is no foundation. No showing that he has personal knowledge.

- Q. Sir, how were you selected to sit on the executive committee of the asamblea?
- 19 A. Because I was president, that's why I had to join in the 20 executive committee.
- Q. At the time that you were sitting on the executive
 committee of the asamblea, were there representatives of other
 indigenous nations sitting on that committee?
- 24 A. Of other indigenous nationalities?
- 25 Q. Yes.

Piaguaje - redirect

1 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

- How were they selected to participate on this committee? Q. MR. BRODSKY: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Sustained. It's the same question you have asked twice before.
 - Q. Mr. Piaquaje, do you know how representatives of other indigenous groups were selected to sit on the executive committee of the asamblea between 2010 and 2012?
 - A. Yes. Because each community, they will elect who their candidate is, who becomes like a president, and that president is on the executive committee representing that community.
- 12 Mr. Piaguaje, was a quorum always taken at every executive 13 committee meeting that you attended?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- 15 0. How was that done?

somebody to do that.

- It had to be one more than half. 16
- 17 Whose responsibility was it to identify sufficient 18 participation to constitute a quorum for a meeting of the executive committee? 19
- 20 Well, those of us who are there can see ourselves because 21 we know who is there, and we can tell if there is more than 22 half of the number and put in from among ourselves. We appoint
- 24 Did you ever read the minutes of previous executive
- 25 committee meetings?

Piaguaje - redirect

- 1 A. I didn't, but I did hear when others were reading them.
- 2 Q. When an executive committee meeting of the asamblea
- 3 started, were the minutes of a previous executive committee
- 4 meeting read and approved?
 - A. Yes.

- 6 Q. When you were a member of the executive committee between
- 7 | 2010 and 2012, you testified in response to Mr. Brodsky's
- 8 questions that a trust was discussed. Do you remember that
- 9 testimony?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What was discussed at the executive committee meetings that
- 12 | you attended between 2010 and 2012 regarding a trust?
- 13 MR. BRODSKY: Objection. Time period, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: No. He said 2010 to 2012. Overruled.
- 15 | A. Well, we began working to build together with those
- 16 | affected and together with our supporters, the coordinator, the
- 17 | attorneys and other colleagues, participants, representatives
- 18 of the Amazon Defense Front, and we understood that we needed
- 19 | to set up a trust in order to manage money and not waste it and
- 20 | focus on the projects for which we had started this lawsuit and
- 21 | the four issues that we had set out to address.
- 22 | Q. Who was supposed to manage the trust to which you refer?
- 23 A. Who started using that word?
- 24 | Q. No. Who was supposed to manage the trust that you are
- 25 | talking about?

Piaguaje - redirect

A. Well, what we talked about in the executive committee was	
that we would need to hire outside persons, professionals, but	
that they couldn't just spend the money any way, and that there	
should be one person from each indigenous nationality, as well	
as a settlers there, to have oversight over that.	
Q. Has the assembly identified specific people to perform	
oversight of the trust that you were talking about?	
MR. BRODSKY: Objection.	
THE COURT: Sorry. Did you say objection?	
MR. BRODSKY: Yes.	
THE COURT: Ground.	
MR. BRODSKY: Relevance.	
THE COURT: Why is it relevant?	
MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, there is an allegation	
THE COURT: And why wasn't it in the witness	
statement?	
MR. GOMEZ: First of all, there is an allegation that	
all of these decisions are being made or at least directed by	
Mr. Donziger. I would like to elicit testimony from the	
witness that contradicts that allegation.	
As for the reason it was not in the witness statement,	
your Honor, the questions about the trust were raised on cross.	
It revealed to me that the witness possesses a greater	
understanding of this than I once imagined, and I would like to	

ask him questions to elicit his knowledge.

Piaguaje - redirect

1 THE COURT: Confine it to the relevant time period. BY MR. GOMEZ: 2 3 Q. Mr. Piaquaje, after 2011, did the asamblea select specific 4 individuals to provide oversight of a trust that you were 5 talking about? Α. Yes. 6 7 Can you identify who those persons were? Well, I only know as to my indigenous community. 8 Α. 9 Who would that be, sir? Q. 10 His name is Felipe Lusitande. Α. 11 MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, may I confer with co-counsel? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 Nothing further. MR. GOMEZ: 14 THE COURT: Mr. Friedman? MR. FRIEDMAN: Nothing for us. 15 16 THE COURT: Recross? 17 MR. BRODSKY: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Piaguaje. You 19 are excused. 20 (Witness excused) 21 MR. FRIEDMAN: Can we have a side bar before we call 22 our next witness? 23 (At the side bar) 24 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Donziger wanted to 25 address the Court, and I thought it would be more appropriate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he do it at the side bar than in open court, if that's OK.

MR. DONZIGER: I don't know if it's more appropriate to do it at the side bar.

I am the next witness. Really, two issues. One is my witness statement is in. Chevron has a motion to strike portions of it. So there is that issue. I would like to get some clarity on that before I testify.

The second issue is we would like to do a relatively brief direct before they start on cross, on the theory that my credibility is obviously very much at issue. The Court allowed Judge Guerra to put in a statement and also testify on direct before the cross.

So I would like to ask the Court to allow Mr. Friedman to do a relatively brief direct to start before Chevron does the cross, but I also would like some clarity on the issue of what I am going to be allowed to testify about in terms of what was in my statement or the scope of it given their motion.

THE COURT: Define relatively brief.

MR. DONZIGER: A couple of hours.

I was going to say 45 minutes. MR. FRIEDMAN:

THE COURT: The answer is no. I have ruled on that. Obviously, it would have been different had you complied with the directions about your witness statement, but you didn't.

As to the other, what I am prepared to say now, inasmuch as you have filed your witness statement yesterday or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possibly even this morning, and thus, I have had relatively little time to consider Chevron's motion and your counsel hasn't even responded to it, I guess I will do this.

Mr. Friedman, do you want to address the motion briefly now?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. I don't know that we need to do this at side bar. I have notes to address it.

THE COURT: Go ahead now.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, here are the main points in opposition to Chevron's brief.

Chevron has alleged extortion. As I understand the law of extortion, it is incumbent upon Chevron to prove that there was no valid claim to the damages that were supposedly being extorted from Chevron or trying to be extorted, and also has to prove that Mr. Donziger did not believe, or did not believe reasonably in good faith, that the plaintiffs had a valid claim to damages.

I think the bulk, as I remember it, the bulk of Chevron's motion is addressed to issues relating to contamination and to the corporate relationship between Texaco, Chevron and Petroecuador. All of that goes to the point of Mr. Donziger having a good faith belief that there was in fact a valid claim for the Ecuadorian plaintiffs for the money he was supposedly trying to extort. So that's the heart of our opposition. I can't recall if there were other points in their

brief, but I think they were mainly trying to keep out contamination and legal status issues.

MR. DONZIGER: Can I make one quick point of clarification? You might not be aware of it. My witness statement was turned in Thursday evening. The statement that we sent over yesterday evening was exactly the same but for one paragraph at the very end.

THE COURT: But for your addition of a large number of exhibits, which in some respects make it a significantly different ball game. And that's simply where it is. Even if it were last whenever, it was way late, and you have been given extraordinarily great latitude, and I have no doubt that I will have the opportunity to assess your credibility fully through an extensive cross-examination and redirect. So that takes care of that.

Now, what I am prepared to do right now vis-a-vis
Chevron's motion is this, subject to the possibility that on
further consideration there may be some alterations. As a
general matter, statements attributed in the witness statement
to persons other than Mr. Donziger, or references to statements
in writings by persons other than Mr. Donziger, will not be
considered for the truth of the matters asserted. All or
substantially all are hearsay to the extent they are offered
for the truth of the matters, and there is nothing in the
witness statement that establishes the applicability of any

cv-00691-LAK-RWL Document 1820 Filed 12/10/13 Page 92 0f 153 2459

exception to the hearsay rule. That is not to say it necessarily will be considered for nonhearsay purposes, only that it will not be considered for the truth of the matters asserted. I will rule on this other issue later.

The statement, moreover, contains and refers extensively to exhibits and other materials relating to environmental conditions in the Oriente. I see nothing in the statement and nothing in what counsel has said or submitted to suggest that any of that information is relevant if and to the extent it is offered to prove the truth.

That's what I am prepared to say now. You will have a ruling on the motion in full before very long.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Could I address just two quick points?

One, there are some exhibits listed in the witness statement that are documents of Chevron's that we would ask be admitted for the truth. I just wanted to make that clear.

THE COURT: Fair enough. That's why I left some flexibility here. I can't be expected to have in mind each and every exhibit referred to in there, particularly since it's been a moving target as late as 8:00 this morning. I will deal with that in the fullness of time. But you wanted guidance, you have got it.

(Continued on next page)

Α.

Yes, it is.

25

Donziger - direct

MR. FRIEDMAN: I move into evidence DX 1750. 1 MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, subject to the motion to 2 3 strike that we have already made in so many respects, we have 4 objected to major portions of it. 5 THE COURT: Received subject to the motion. (Defendant's Exhibit 1750 received in evidence) 6 7 MR. FRIEDMAN: Pass the witness, your Honor. 8 MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. MASTRO: 11 We meet again, Mr. Donziger. Good afternoon. 12 Sir, am I correct that you only served on us last 13 night around 6:30 a final version of your witness statement, 14 the declaration that was just offered into evidence, correct, 15 sir? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And that you served on us a draft of that statement on Thursday evening, around 8:00, correct, sir? 18 That's correct. 19 Α. 20 In the evening, correct? 0. 21 Α. Yes. 22 But you had already given it out, that draft, to the press 23 before you ever gave it to us or to the Court, correct, sir? 24 Α. No.

Did you not give your statement to The New York Times the

Donziger - cross

- 1 day before or earlier before you gave it to us or to the Court?
- 2 A. I gave an earlier version to The New York Times.
- 3 | Q. Thank you for that clarification. I appreciate it.
- Am I correct that you yourself describe yourself as someone who could have been a propagandist?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- Q. You recall saying that on the Crude outtakes, sir, that you could have been a propagandist?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. We will refresh your recollection later.
- Mr. Donziger, this is not the first time you have
- 12 | testified, is it, sir, in this case?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. You also gave a deposition in your 1782 proceeding,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. Many days, yes.
- 17 | Q. Sir, you prepared yourself to give that testimony in your
- 18 | 1782 proceeding, correct?
- 19 A. I don't understand your question.
- 20 | Q. You prepared yourself to give testimony at your deposition
- 21 | in your 1782 proceeding, correct? You didn't just go in cold,
- 22 | you prepared, correct?
- 23 A. I prepared with my then counsel.
- 24 | Q. And you prepared responses to give to questions in your
- 25 | 1782 proceeding, correct, sir?

Donziger - cross

- A. Well, I did a preparation where I tried to think of truthful responses to questions that I expected to be posed.
- 3 Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that one of the responses you
- 4 prepared for yourself to give when you were about to give
- 5 | testimony then was to respond to questions, "It's possible, but
- 6 I don't think so"?
- 7 A. If that were to be an accurate response, yes, I would give
- 8 that response.
- 9 Q. Didn't you also prepare yourself to give the response, "I
- 10 guess it's possible, but to the best of my recollection I
- 11 | didn't"?
- 12 A. If that would be accurate, yes, I would give that response.
- Q. I am going to show you, sir, what has been marked as
- 14 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 2457.
- MR. MASTRO: May I approach, your Honor?
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- 17 | Q. I am referring you, Mr. Donziger, to the very top part of
- 18 | the page where it says, "Comments: It's possible, but I don't
- 19 | think so. I guess it's possible, but to the best of my
- 20 | recollection I didn't." Do you see that, sir?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. You wrote this document yourself to prepare yourself to
- 23 give testimony in a courtroom, correct, sir?
- 24 A. I did write the document myself.
- 25 | Q. And you didn't write that paragraph in response to any

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

Donziger - cross

- 1 | particular question, did you, sir?
- A. I wrote it in response to what could be questions that I anticipated.
 - Q. Sir, simple question. You didn't write that paragraph about giving those responses in response to any specific question, did you, sir?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. I wrote it in response to specific questions that might come up that that would be an appropriate response to.
- Q. You didn't cite any specific questions that you had in mind to give those responses to, "It's possible, but I don't think so. I guess it's possible, but to the best of my recollection I didn't," correct, sir?
- MR. GOMEZ: Objection. The document speaks for itself.
- 17 MR. MASTRO: I will withdraw, your Honor.
- Q. Mr. Donziger, can you tell the Court how many times during
 your deposition you responded to questions with, it's possible,
 but I don't think so, or, I guess it's possible, but to the
 best of my recollection I didn't, in words or substance? Can
 you tell the Court how many times you did that in your
 deposition?
 - A. Given that I was deposed 19 days, and I don't have the 19 days and thousands of pages in front of me, no, I can't answer

DBI8CHE5 Donziger - cross

- 1 | that question right now.
- 2 Q. Was it more than 100 times, sir?
- 3 A. I have no idea, sir.
 - Q. More than 200 times?
- 5 A. I don't know.

4

7

8

- 6 MR. MASTRO: I will move on, your Honor.
 - Q. Mr. Donziger, referring to your witness statement, you claim that Pablo Fajardo has been the "lead lawyer" in the Lago Agrio case "from 2005 until the present"?
- THE COURT: Can I have the paragraph, please?

 MR. MASTRO: Paragraph 10.
- 12 | Q. That's your testimony to this Court, correct, sir?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that he is the sole representative, that's your testimony?
- 16 A. Before the court in Ecuador, yes.
- Q. And that you have "served on the case at the pleasure of
- 18 the plaintiffs and their representative, "correct, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And their representative is Mr. Fajardo, you serve at his
- 21 | pleasure, that's your testimony here, correct?
- 22 A. I serve at the pleasure of the clients and Mr. Fajardo as
- 23 | their representative.
- 24 | Q. So you work for Mr. Fajardo, he doesn't work for you,
- 25 | that's your testimony?

Donziger - cross

- 1 Α. In that time frame, yes.
 - 2005 to the present, correct? Q.
 - Α. Yes.

2

3

4

9

10

11

13

14

Sir, I want to ask you a few questions about that. Q.

5 First, in terms of serving at the pleasure of the Lago 6 Agrio plaintiffs. You were just here for Mr. Piaguaje's

- 7 testimony, weren't you, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.

Α.

- Q. So you know that he just told the Court in his statement that, "I have never had the direct authority, discretion or control of the actions taken by Steven Donziger."
- 12 That's paragraph 36 of his statement. Isn't that
- correct, sir, that's the testimony he just gave to the Court?

I don't know. I don't have his statement in front of me,

- 15 but if it is, it's not what I'm talking about.
- Q. And you know that Mr. Camacho, the other defendant in this 16
- 17 case, also a Lago Agrio plaintiff, has testified that he has
- 18 never even met you, correct, sir?
- 19 THE COURT: Mr. Mastro, they have said whatever they 20 have said.
- 21 Q. Mr. Donziger, I want to ask you about how you have 22 described yourself since 2005.
- 23 Isn't it a fact that you have described yourself since 24 2005 as "the lead lawyer in the class action trial that seeks 25 damages for a cleanup, Aguinda v. Chevron Texaco, currently

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

Donziger - cross

- being heard by the superior court in Nueva Loja, in Ecuador,
 before German Yanez."
- You have described yourself since 2005 as the lead lawyer in that class action trial, haven't you, sir?
 - A. At times I have.
 - Q. And you have described yourself since 2005 as "the person primarily responsible for putting this team together and supervising it," correct, sir?
 - A. This team not being the Ecuadorian team; the team outside of Ecuador, yes.
 - Q. Sir, haven't you also described yourself since 2005 as "playing an integral role in designing the trial strategy and working closely with the local team of lawyers," correct, sir?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- Q. Sir, the integral role in designing the trial strategy, that would be the Lago Agrio Chevron trial, correct, sir?
 - A. Well, not necessarily.
- Q. So let me put up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 806 and go to page 21.
- This is a book proposal that you prepared yourself since 2005, correct, sir?
- A. I am seeing one paragraph. I don't know if there is a complete document.
- 24 | Q. Let's hand him the complete document.
- MR. MASTRO: May I approach, your Honor?

24

25

Donziger - cross

THE COURT: Yes. 1 On the first page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 806, that's an 2 Q. 3 e-mail from you to someone named David Kuhn, dated November 3, 4 2006, correct, sir? 5 Α. Yes. So this is your draft book proposal, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Let's go, sir, to page 21 of that proposal. 9 THE COURT: Which number, counsel? 10 MR. MASTRO: It's page 21. THE COURT: There are two page 21s. You're going off 11 12 the bottom numbers or the other numbers? 13 MR. MASTRO: I am going by the bottom numbers, your 14 Not 22. I am going on the one in the lower right-hand Honor. 15 corner. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Sir, am I correct that you have described yourself as a 18 person supervising -- strike that. 19 Am I correct that you have described yourself as 20 playing an integral role in designing the trial strategy and 21 working closely --22 THE COURT: The document says what it says. If you 23 want to read something to him and ask him something based on

Q. Where in your book proposal you refer to playing an

it, go ahead. But no responsive readings.

Donziger - cross

- integral role in designing the trial strategy and working

 closely with the local team of lawyers, you are referring to

 the trial strategy in the Lago Agrio Chevron case, correct,
- 4 sir?

- A. No. Yes, but I refer in the same paragraph to Mr. Fajardo as the lead lawyer.
 - Q. Sir, the lead local lawyer in the Ecuadorian case, correct?
- 8 A. That's an accurate description.
- 9 Q. Isn't it a fact that you have also described yourself since
 10 2005 as being "at the epicenter of the legal, political and
- 11 media activity surrounding the case, both in Ecuador and in the
- 12 U.S," correct, sir?
- A. I don't know if you're reading from the proposal. Feel free to point it out to me and I can answer it.
- 15 Q. Do you recall describing yourself in those terms, sir?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that you wrote to Joseph Kohn in 2009
- and described your firm's role as a primary obligation is to
- 19 | run the case on a day-to-day basis?
- 20 A. I believe I did, but --
- 21 Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you described yourself as doing
- 22 | "the overwhelming amount of work on this case"?
- A. Yeah. But that's a very incomplete description of my actual role. My role was much more nuanced than that.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Donziger, answer the questions and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Donziger - cross

- then stop when you have answered them. Your counsel will have the opportunity on redirect to ask you anything he wants to ask you to clarify.
 - Q. Isn't it a fact, Mr. Donziger, that you would give directions to local counsel in Ecuador on what to do with the litigation?
 - A. On occasion I would express my opinion as to what they should do, and I would do it in forceful terms. It didn't change the fundamental relationship, which is I worked for them.
 - Q. Isn't it a fact, Mr. Donziger --
 - MR. MASTRO: And I apologize in advance, your Honor, for using this language. It is not my language, his.
 - Q. But isn't it a fact, Mr. Donziger, that there were times when since 2005, you gave instructions to Mr. Fajardo and other local counsel in Ecuador to just get this done on time and don't fuck it up?
 - A. Is your question did I say that?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. I would often use very forceful language, yes. I don't 21 know if I said that.
- Q. Mr. Donziger, isn't it also a fact that in your own
 notebook, that you call a memoir, you describe personally
 meeting privately with the Ecuadorian judges on the Lago Agrio
 case at least eight separate times between March 2006 and May

Donziger - cross

1 2007?

4

5

6

7

10

15

25

- A. I met with judges in Ecuador when it was appropriate to do so on occasion. I don't know the exact number.
 - Q. The judge in the Lago Agrio Chevron case, you document in your notebook meeting with the judge overseeing the Lago Agrio Chevron case privately, without Chevron present, eight separate

occasions between March 2006 and May 2007, correct, sir?

8 MR. GOMEZ: Objection. The document speaks for 9 itself.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 11 A. I don't know the exact number. There were occasions that I
 12 met with the judge.
- Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that you have described yourself as the cabeza on the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
 - A. I don't have any recollection of that.
- 16 Q. And cabeza means head, correct?
- 17 A. Cabeza means head in Spanish.
- Q. That's the way Pablo Fajardo has introduced you since 2005, as the cabeza on the case, correct?
- 20 A. I don't know. He certainly hasn't in recent years.
- 21 Q. Sir, I would like to show you your notebook.
- 22 Sir, directing your attention to page 27 of 119.
- MR. MASTRO: That's at the bottom center of the page,
 your Honor.
 - Q. Directing your attention to the passage, "Pablo is

Donziger - cross

- obviously single-handedly providing the glue to hold much of the left together. Still introduces me as the cabeza of the lawsuit, which I don't like, but that is fixable." Do you see that, sir?
 - Do you see that, sir?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. Does that refresh your recollection that Pablo Fajardo referred to you in 2007 as the cabeza of the lawsuit?
- 9 A. I don't have any independent recollection other than my notes.
- Q. Now, sir, isn't it a fact that Pablo Fajardo also used to refer to you as the commander-in-chief of the Ecuadorian legal team?
- 14 A. Pablo had a lot of nicknames for me. That might have been one of them.
- Q. He did that as recently as October 2009, when the final plan for the case, his words, was to be done and our "commander-in-chief Steven Donziger must be at that workshop."
- 19 | Isn't that true, sir?
- 20 | A. I vaguely remember that, but I think he was joking.
- Q. Sir, when you're putting together the final plan for the
- case, he is calling you commander, and your testimony to this
- 23 | Court is that was a joke?
- A. We had a lot of jokes among us about authority. So I think
- 25 | that was a joke.

Donziger - cross

- Q. Isn't it a fact that Mr. Fajardo referred to you as commander repeatedly from 2007 to the present? Isn't that
- 3 | true, sir?
- 4 A. He used the word comandante.
- 5 | Q. Which means commander in Spanish, correct?
- A. It was done more as a term of affection, akin to like good buddy or something like that.
- Q. Isn't it a fact since 2005, you referred to Mr. Fajardo in discussions with others as "your young field lawyer in Lago"?
- 10 A. I don't have any recollection of that.
- MR. MASTRO: May I approach, your Honor?

 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. Mr. Donziger, this is an e-mail that you sent to Raul
 Herrera in August 2006, correct, sir?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Raul Herrera was the lawyer representing the Republic of
- 17 | Ecuador, correct?
- 18 A. I believe he was at that time.
- 19 | Q. He was at Winston & Strawn, correct?
- 20 | A. I believe so.
- 21 Q. When you're communicating to Raul Herrera of Winston &
- 22 Strawn representing the Republic of Ecuador, you called Pablo
- 23 | Fajardo "a young field lawyer in Lago," correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Does that refresh your recollection whether that is the way

Donziger - cross

- 1 | you used to refer to him in the period in 2005?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Is it also the case that Mr. Fajardo only became a lawyer
- 4 and graduated from school sometime in 2004?
- 5 A. I have a recollection he became a lawyer in the early 2000s
- 6 and the Lago case was his first case. I don't know if it was
- 7 | that particular year or not.
- 8 | Q. Isn't it correct that you told Vanity Fair that Pablo
- 9 | Fajardo only became a lawyer in 2004?
- 10 | A. I don't recall.
- 11 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you are the one who installed
- 12 | Pablo Fajardo as the person to be the lead local lawyer in late
- 13 2005 when you and Joe Kohn were forcing Christopher Bonifaz out
- 14 of the case?
- 15 | THE COURT: Sustained as to form. Break it up.
- 16 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you're the person who directed
- 17 | that Pablo Fajardo become the lead local lawyer in the Lago
- 18 | Agrio Chevron case in December 2005?
- 19 | A. I remember recommending him. I did not direct it. The
- 20 decision was made by others.
- 21 | Q. At the time, you and Mr. Kohn were forcing Christopher
- 22 | Bonifaz out of the representation, correct?
- 23 A. First of all, it's Cristobal Bonifaz. And the answer is,
- 24 no, we were not at that time.
- 25 | Q. Am I right that you directed that Pablo become the joint

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Donziger - cross

counsel of record in December 2005 to take control of the case within the current team -- strike that.

Am I correct that up until December 2005, Pablo Fajardo had not been the person speaking as lead local counsel in the Lago Agrio Chevron case?

- A. I don't recall the specific dates, but at the beginning of the trial he was not, and then he replaced the person who was.
- Q. And that in December 2005, you instructed that it was important for Pablo to become the joint counsel of record as soon as possible to take control of the case within the current team?
- 12 | A. I don't recall.
- MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. MASTRO: I will show the witness what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7673.
 - Q. Mr. Donziger, am I correct that this is an e-mail from you to Alejandro Ponce and Pablo Fajardo, copy to Luis Yanza, dated December 7, 2005?
 - A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. I am referring you to the bottom of the first page.

Does that refresh your recollection on December 7,

2005, that you wrote to the local Ecuadorian legal team that it

was "even more important for Pablo to become the joint counsel

of record as soon as possible to take control of the case

DBI8CHE5 Donziger - cross within the current team"? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. That was because you were upset with something that Alberto Wray had just done on the case, correct, sir? 4 5 A. I think it was a variety of reasons, that being one of them. 6 7 Q. Mr. Donziger, am I correct that you have been practicing law for over 25 years? 8 9 A. No, not that long. 10 You're in your early 50s, correct? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 And Mr. Fajardo, is he even 40 years old now? 13 Α. He is 40, or 41. 14 (Continued on next page) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

24

25

- 1 And, sir, am I correct that you still refer to him today as 2 local counsel, correct? 3 A. There's different descriptive terms I use and that is one that I think I do use and is accurate. 4 Q. Let me ask you about financial terms between you and 5 Mr. Fajardo. 6 7 Am I correct that you stand to make more than three 8 times what Mr. Fajardo stands to make on a contingency fee 9 basis in this case? 10 A. I don't know. 11 MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, referring to the sanctions 12 hearing testimony, page 136, lines 10 through 13: 13 "So you make more than three times what Mr. Fajardo makes on this case but you work for him. That is your 14 15 testimony in this court? "Answer: Yes, it is." 16 17 Q. Now, Mr. Donziger --18 THE COURT: Are you offering that? 19 MR. MASTRO: I am offering it as impeachment, your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: Is there an objection to its being 22 received? 23
 - MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, if the whole page is put in for context, there's no objection.
 - MR. MASTRO: No problem, your Honor.

Donziger - cross

- THE COURT: Page 13 in its entirety of the sanctions hearing is in.
- Q. Mr. Donziger, am I correct that Mr. Fajardo makes approximately 2,000 a month?
 - A. I don't know at this point what he makes.
- Q. You're the person who doles out the checks to pay the Ecuadorian legal team, correct, sir?
- 8 | A. No.

5

- 9 Q. You've done that historically, haven't you, sir, you've arranged for them to get their pay, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes, but I don't dole out the checks.
- 12 | Q. And so you know that the lawyers on your local Ecuadorian
- 13 | team -- Mr. Fajardo, Mr. Saenz, Mr. Prieto -- make around
- 14 | \$2,000 a month, correct?
- 15 A. I think that was the case at a certain point in time and I
- 16 | think I testified to that. I don't know if that's the case
- 17 | today.
- 18 Q. And, sir, you have made on this case typically 15,000 or
- 19 more a month, correct?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. Isn't it a fact that when Joe Kohn was funding this case
- 22 | that you made about 150,000 a year in salary during that period
- 23 of time up to 2009, correct?
- 24 A. It's roughly the case, but a lot of that money was went
- 25 back out to pay other people.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Donziger - cross

- Q. So, sir, you made 150,000 a year in 2009, Mr. Fajardo made about 24,000 a year in 2009, correct?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that you made in a typical year salary-wise on the case six or seven times more than
- 6 Mr. Fajardo typically made on the case, correct, sir?
- 7 A. It's roughly correct, but it reflects also cost of living 8 in New York as opposed to Lago Agrio.

MR. MASTRO: Move to strike after cost of living.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, he could be allowed to finish his answer before there's a move to strike.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is the answer is supposed to be responsive and only responsive, not an argument. And, therefore, the motion to strike is granted, everything after it's roughly correct. You can ask him on redirect.

Q. Sir, I want to make sure I understand your testimony.

On a contingency fee basis, you make three times or more what Mr. Fajardo stands to make if you collect on this judgment, correct, sir?

- A. I think that's roughly correct. I don't know his exact arrangement at this point.
- Q. And you make, you have made on this case typically six, seven times more in salary each year than Mr. Fajardo has made, correct, sir?
 - A. I just testified to that.

Donziger - cross

- Q. But you say you work for him, he doesn't work for you; that's your testimony?
 - A. It is.

3

4

9

10

- Q. He must be a very generous boss, Mr. Donziger.
- 5 THE COURT: Let's cut it out, Mr. Mastro.
- 6 MR. MASTRO: I'm sorry, your Honor.
- Q. Now let's talk about your retainer agreement and the authority you have under your retainer agreement.
 - Am I correct that your retainer agreement is signed by Mr. Fajardo and Mr. Yanez, correct?
- 11 A. Yanza.
- 12 0. Withdraw that.
- 13 Your retainer agreement was signed in January 2011 by
- 14 Mr. Fajardo and Mr. Yanza, correct, sir?
- 15 A. I think others or another or yes.
- 16 Q. Your retainer agreement gives you the responsibility to
- 17 | exercise "overall responsibility for the strategic direction of
- 18 | the litigation and the day-to-day management of the
- 19 | litigation."
- 20 | Isn't that right, sir?
- 21 | A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that the litigation that you have
- 23 | overall responsibility for the strategic direction of and
- 24 day-to-day management of includes Lago Agrio Chevron case, the
- 25 | 1782 actions in the United States, and this litigation,

Donziger - cross

1 | correct?

2

5

6

7

8

9

- A. No, that's not correct.
- 3 | Q. Now, sir, I'm going to show you --

4 MR. MASTRO: May I approach, your Honor?

Q. -- what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 558.

Mr. Donziger, referring you to page 2 of this document, this is your retainer agreement, correct, sir?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. This is the one you signed on January 5, 2011, correct?
- 10 A. It's January 2011. It does not have a date next to my
 11 name.
- 12 Q. And the first signatory on behalf of the plaintiffs is
- 13 Pablo Fajardo, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And, sir, referring you specifically to page 2,
- 16 | subparagraph 2B, where it says that you as the plaintiffs' U.S.
- 17 | representative are authorized "to exercise overall
- 18 responsibility for the strategic direction of the litigation
- 19 | and the day-to-day management of the litigation."
- Does that refresh your recollection as to, you know,
- 21 | whether you have that authority under your retention agreement?
- 22 | A. I'm going to read the other subsections real quick before I
- 23 answer that question, if that's okay.
- 24 | Q. Well, while you're on it, Mr. Donziger, please also read
- 25 section 2BI where it says that you have authority to

5

6

9

10

11

- "coordinate the overall legal strategy to pursue and defend all aspects of the litigation."
- Does that refresh your recollection, sir, whether you have that authority?
 - A. Yeah, but I would say reading this it's not an accurate depiction.
- 7 MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, move to strike after -8 THE COURT: Everything after "yeah" is stricken.
 - Q. Sir, am I also correct, directing your attention to page 1, that the litigation is defined as including the Maria Aguinda v. Chevron corporation litigation, that's the Lago Agrio
- 12 Chevron litigation, correct?
- 13 A. Where are you reading from?
- Q. Page 1. The term litigation is defined in the first three paragraphs of your retention agreement, correct, sir?
- 16 A. Can you --
- 17 | Q. Under witnesseth.
- 18 | A. Okay.
- Q. See there where it defines in the third paragraph

 collectively all of the above are litigation, the first,

 second, and third paragraphs, starting whereas, do you see
- 22 | that, sir?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. So your authority to exercise overall responsibility for the strategic direction of the litigation and day-to-day

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

25

- management of the litigation includes the Lago Agrio Chevron 1 litigation, the 1782 actions, and any related litigations, 2 3 including this one, correct, sir?
 - This contract is not an accurate depiction of my actual authority.
 - Sir, isn't it a fact that this contract, this retention agreement can't be changed other than in writing signed by all parties, correct?
 - I don't know. Α.
 - Have you signed any new agreements since this one that alter your rights and obligations and responsibilities under this agreement one whit?
- 13 There has been an alteration, yes. Α.
- 14 Is that something signed in writing but you altering your 15 role?
- A. It's been signed by the plaintiffs or plaintiffs' 17 representatives.
- 18 Q. Now, sir, I'm going to direct you to page 10 of this agreement, paragraph 13, modification in writing, "No 19 20 modification, amendment, waiver or release of any provisions of 21 this agreement or of any right, obligation, claim or course of 22 action arising hereunder shall be valid or binding for any 23 purpose unless in writing and duly executed by the party 24 against whom same is asserted."
 - Now, sir, you haven't signed any modification

- amendment, waiver or release of any provision of this retention agreement, correct, sir?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 | Q. So as far as you're concerned, under the terms of this
- 5 agreement, you continue to this day to have the rights,
- 6 responsibilities, and obligations that apply under this
- 7 | agreement, correct?
- 8 A. That's not correct.
- 9 Q. Now, sir, let me ask you this: Aren't you also responsible
- 10 under this agreement for assembling and organizing the various
- 11 United States lawyers and law firms representing the Lago Agrio
- 12 | plaintiffs, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Mr. Fajardo doesn't do that, you do that, correct, sir?
- 15 A. I would say --
- 16 | Q. Yes or no, sir?
- 17 | A. I did do that. I do not do that fully at this point, no.
- 18 | Q. Do you consider yourself to have fulfilled your obligations
- 19 | to your clients, the Lago Agrio plaintiffs, under this
- 20 | retention agreement?
- 21 A. I haven't really thought about it. I've tried my best, but
- 22 | I don't know if I completely fulfilled all my obligations. I'd
- 23 have to look at it. It's been a while.
- MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I offer for impeachment
- 25 | sanctions hearing, page 49, starting line 6:

Donziger - cross

- "Q. Do you consider yourself to have fulfilled your obligations to your clients, the plaintiffs, under this retention agreement, sir?
 - "A. Yes, I do."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Proceed. What was the date of that testimony?

MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, that was on April 16, 2013.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- Q. Mr. Donziger, was that testimony true and correct when you gave it just a few months ago, was that true and correct, yes or no?
- 12 A. Yes, it was, but it was several months ago.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Now, Mr. Donziger, am I correct, sir, that -- strike that.

Is there anyone else besides Mr. Fajardo and the Lago Agrio plaintiffs who you are telling this Court is your boss?

A. Mr. Fajardo is the person I deal with. But behind him he has to answer to others who, in theory, would have authority over me if they wanted to exercise it, in my opinion.

- Q. Now, sir, am I correct that you are the person who has decided how much people working on the team in Ecuador get paid?
- A. In the past I worked with the local team to, like Mr. Yanza and others, to come up with amounts that we felt were appropriate.

- Q. And in Mr. Yanza's case, you not only paid him a monthly salary -- correct, sir?
- 3 A. I didn't pay him.
- Q. You approved of funds going to Mr. Yanza in amounts of 500
- 5 to 2,000 a month, correct, sir?
- A. We would set budgets jointly and in the budget would be a salary for him.
- Q. And you also approved buying a house for Mr. Yanza, didn't you, sir?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you paid that out of your budget, correct, sir?
- 12 A. I believe, yes, I believe that came out of the budget.
- Q. Now, sir, I want to ask you a few questions about financial matters.
- In your statement you claim that all of your efforts
 on the Aguinda case have been to achieve a just result for your
 clients. That's your testimony, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But this isn't a pro bono case for you, is it, sir?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. You expect to get paid and you're proud of that, aren't
- 22 you, sir?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You've even referred to looking forward to getting the
- 25 | "juicy check" from Chevron, haven't you, sir?

- 1 A. That was a joke.
- 2 | Q. It's not only a term you've used, it's a term that
- 3 Mr. Fajardo and others on the Ecuadorian legal team have used,
- 4 | to get juicy checks out of Chevron, correct, sir?
- 5 A. That originated with Mr. Callejas at a judicial inspection.
- 6 0. Move to strike.
- 7 A. He would make a joke about it, so it's not my term.
- 8 THE COURT: Answer is stricken.
- 9 Q. Mr. Donziger, haven't you written that you dream of
- 10 | billions of dollars on the table?
- 11 A. For the clients, yeah.
- 12 | Q. And haven't you spoken openly about jacking this thing up
- 13 | to \$30 billion if you could have, haven't you done that, sir?
- 14 A. I did say that, but it comes with a certain context that it
- 15 was always based on the amounts of money needed for a cleanup.
- 16 0. Yes or no. Yes or no.
- 17 | THE COURT: Answer is stricken after "I did say that."
- MR. MASTRO: Again, your Honor, I apologize for having
- 19 | to use this language, but.
- 20 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you've described the business
- 21 | you're in, the business of plaintiffs' law, as being about
- 22 | "making fucking money"?
- 23 A. I may have, I don't know.
- 24 | Q. Didn't you say that on the Crude outtakes as you were
- 25 | leaving the San Francisco Chronicle after giving an interview

Donziger - cross

- 1 | there about your case, didn't you say that?
- 2 A. It's possible. I don't know if I said it.
- 3 Q. We'll come back to it, sir.
- Am I correct that between 2003 and 2009, Joseph Kohn
 was funding the litigation?
- A. During those years he was the primary funder, but not the only funder.
- Q. And am I also correct, sir, that over that period of time,
 2003 to 2009, Mr. Kohn paid you over \$1 million in connection
- 11 A. It sounds about right. I don't know exactly.

with this case, the Lago Agrio Chevron case?

- 12 Q. And, sir, isn't it also the case that in 2007 and 2008 -13 strike that.
- Isn't it also the case that in late aughts Russ DeLeon also became a funder on the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 16 A. That is correct, yes.
- 17 | Q. And Mr. DeLeon is someone you know from school days?
- 18 | A. Yes.

10

- 19 Q. And Mr. DeLeon now lives on Gibraltar, correct?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. He's a fugitive from U.S. justice, isn't he, sir?
- 22 | A. No.
- Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that in 2007 and 2008, Mr. DeLeon
- 24 | also paid you over \$800,000?
- 25 | A. For --

- 1 Q. Yes or no.
- 2 A. For a different matter.
- 3 Q. Yes or no, sir.
- 4 A. I don't know the exact amount.
- Q. And isn't it a fact that you also received \$10,000 for
- 6 appearing in the movie Crude?
- 7 A. I think Mr. Berlinger bought my rights for documentary film
- 8 purposes and that might have been the amount of money he paid
- 9 me.
- 10 | Q. And that's money you put in your pocket, correct, sir?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 | Q. And am I also correct, sir, that you have by far the
- 13 | largest contingency fee interest of any lawyer or law firm in
- 14 | the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 15 A. No, it's not correct.
- 16 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that you have the largest contingency
- 17 | fee interest of any lawyer in the Lago Agrio Chevron case?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 Q. Now, sir, let's break it down.
- Is there somebody else, some other lawyer or law firm,
- 21 | that has a larger contingency fee interest in the Lago Agrio
- 22 | Chevron case than you?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 | Q. So as you sit here today, you're not aware of any other
- 25 | lawyer or law firm that has a larger contingency fee interest

Donziger - cross

- 1 | in the Lago Agrio Chevron case than you, correct, sir?
- 2 A. I don't know. I know what I have and I can estimate what
 3 some others have and it's --
 - Q. Let's ask you about what you have, sir, all right.

Again, under your retention agreement, the total contingency fee payment to go to lawyers on the Lago Agrio Chevron case is 20 percent, correct, sir?

- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And under your retention agreement, you are entitled to
- 10 | 31.5 percent of that 20 percent, correct, sir?
- 11 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 | Q. So when the judgment was over \$19 billion, if the Lago
- 13 Agrio plaintiffs had been able to collect the entirety of the
- 14 | judgment, you would have made approximately \$1.2 billion,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. More or less, subtracting what I would owe other people.
- 17 | Q. And, sir, am I also correct that even today, after last
- 18 week's decision eliminating the punitive damage award, you
- 19 | still stand to make approximately \$600 million on the Lago
- 20 Agrio Chevron judgment if the Lago Agrio plaintiffs are able to
- 21 | collect on the entirety of the judgment as it now stands?
- 22 | A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Mr. Donziger, I want to ask you a few questions about
- 24 Amazonia Recovery Limited. That's a Gibraltar company,
- 25 | correct, sir?

DBILCHE6 Donziger - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And you're a shareholder in that company, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. That's because of your contingency fee interest, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what percentage of the shares of Amazonia
- 7 Recovery Limited you have, sir?
- 8 A. The structure of the case was designed -- I mean the
- 9 structure of that entity was designed to reflect the
- 10 contingency fee equity in the lawsuit, so it's roughly the
- 11 equivalent.
- 12 | Q. And you own shares in Amazonia Recovery Limited because the
- 13 expectation is that amounts collected on the judgment will be
- 14 kept there and then able to be distributed to the lawyers based
- 15 | on their different contingency fee interests, correct, sir?
- 16 A. Not really.
- 17 Q. Well, sir, I want to break it down because I want to
- 18 | understand it.
- 19 You own shares in Amazonia Recovery Limited, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You can't tell the Court what number of shares you own in
- 22 | Amazonia Recovery Limited?
- 23 | A. I don't know the number. It's the equivalent of what the
- 24 contingency fee interest was before it was created.
- 25 Q. So --

Donziger - cross

- THE COURT: Is that 31 and a half percent,
- 2 Mr. Donziger?
- THE WITNESS: No, it was 31 and a half percent of the
- 4 20 percent.
- 5 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Q. So let me make sure I understand the structure of the pay-out on the judgment.
 - Am I correct that off the top of the judgment or any moneys that are collected come payment of expenses and fees, correct, sir?
- 11 A. That's my understanding.
- 12 Q. And you have a substantial amount of expenses and fees
- 13 | you're still claiming off the top, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

8

9

10

- 15 Q. And you have someone you've described as a quote/unquote
- 16 | accountant, Mr. Rizack, correct, sir?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Who you referred us to to try to get documents about what
- 19 | expenses you had and what you're claiming, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you know that Mr. Rizack didn't produce all of those
- 22 | records to us, that privilege claims were asserted, correct,
- 23 | sir?
- 24 A. I know we asserted privilege claims, but I don't know how
- 25 | it ended up. I know you got documents from him.

Donziger - cross

- Q. And you know that your cocounsel, Mr. Friedman, agreed to a 502 stip so we could see the rest of the documents, he agreed to that last night, you know that, sir, correct?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. You know, sir, that from Mr. Friedman because when he asked you this morning for permission to sign the 502 stip, you told him he couldn't sign it, correct, sir?
 - MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I'll object on attorney-client privilege.
 - MR. MASTRO: It was disclosed to me, your Honor. It was hardly a secret.
 - MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, we have a different idea of what was disclosed and, your Honor, I guess you could take testimony from me and Mr. Mastro but that -- I'd object on relevance grounds at this point.
 - Q. Mr. Donziger, are you aware that Mr. Rizack just today, while we were here in court, midday, produced more financial records to us about your accountant in the Lago Agrio Chevron case (indicating)?
- A. I don't know what you mean by accountant (indicating).
- 21 Q. He's not really an accountant --
- 22 | A. I'm not aware --
- 23 | Q. He's not really an accountant, correct?
- 24 A. No, he is not an accountant.
- 25 | Q. But you, you're aware that just today midday he produced

Donziger - cross

- 1 more documents to us, correct?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. You're aware that he's still withholding hundreds of
- 4 documents on alleged privilege grounds, correct, sir?

allow us to see the rest of those records?

5 | A. No.

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Am I correct that you're not willing to sign a 502 stip to
- 8 A. Sir --

is.

- 9 MR. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. I would object
 10 on relevance grounds. I'm happy to take this issue up, but I
 11 don't think it's appropriate in the context of
 12 cross-examination. I'd be happy to tell you what our position
 - THE COURT: Well, if there's going to be an application with respect to it, I'll be happy to hear what your position is. But at the moment the question, it seems to me, goes to whether the witness is prepared to have whatever the evidence is come out, whether it's privileged or not, and it seems to me relevant, therefore.
 - Q. Mr. Donziger, please answer the question.
- 21 A. What's the question?
- Q. The question is whether you're willing to enter into a 502 stip so that Mr. Rizack will allow us to review the rest of your financial records relating to the Lago Agrio case as to which you've claimed privilege up until now.

(212) 805-0300

Donziger - cross

A. Sir, I can't answer that. I'd have to consult with my counsel. I don't know what the implications of that are. I have not talked to my counsel about that, so I can't answer that until I talk to my counsel. Sorry.

MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, may we approach the side bar for a moment on this point?

THE COURT: Very briefly.

(At the side bar)

MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, we are making an application that because we thought we had an agreement last night. We didn't move before your Honor. With Mr. Friedman we thought we had an understanding under 502 stip. I was told this morning that that wasn't going to happen and, you know, we think the Court should direct that. There's been waiver here. There's clearly no privilege as to those documents.

THE COURT: I'm not hearing this now.

MR. MASTRO: I just want to make the point that the way this has played out has been to deny us having full access to those records for his cross-examination. And I'm going to continue to cross. I'm not asking for any latitude that way, but it's been clearly designed to prevent us from having the full records to be able to cross-examine him on his financial mismanagement.

THE COURT: If, as, and when there's an application, I'll deal with it. If your intention is to make it now, I'm

DBILCHE6 Donziger - cross 1 not going to hear it now. Let's continue. 2 MR. MASTRO: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Hear it at the end of the day, if need be. MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. 4 5 (In open court) BY MR. MASTRO: 6 7 Q. Mr. Donziger, I'd like to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7700 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 7701. 8 9 MR. MASTRO: May I approach, your Honor? 10 Mr. Donziger, before I ask you some questions about these Ο. 11 documents, in your statement to the Court, you claim you've 12 been "operating under constant pressure of lack of resources." 13 Do you recall that, sir? 14 Α. Yes, yes. 15 Q. And you've been making that complaint since the inception 16 of this RICO case back in early 2011, correct, sir? 17 Α. That's correct. MR. MASTRO: Did the court reporter get that? You have to speak up, Mr. Donziger. That's correct. Thank you.

- 18
- 19 20
- 21 Mr. Donziger, can you explain to the Court what 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 7700 is?
- 23 This was an effort by Mr. Rizack to reconstruct my 24 financials over a period of time, and it was an effort to allow 25 me to potentially be paid for months that I had never been

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

- paid. So we created invoices to submit to the clients that

 were never sent. These invoices were never sent, and they were

 just sort of for my internal records to gain an understanding

 of what I might be entitled to from the client should funds be

 raised or recovery be had in the litigation.
 - Q. Is it your testimony that these invoices have never been sent to the client or any other client representative?
 - A. These invoices, as far as I know, have never been sent.
 - Q. Is it your intention, if the Lago Agrio plaintiffs are able to collect on their judgment, to seek reimbursement for these amounts?
 - A. There is an amount of money that I put into the case personally, as well as salaries that I am owed by the clients that have never been paid. And, yes, I intend to get reimbursed for those amounts if funds become available.
 - Q. And, sir, referring you to --
- THE COURT: And, excuse me, and are these the amounts?
- 18 Q. Are these the amounts you would intend to seek
- 19 reimbursement of?
- A. Mr. Rizack and I were engaged in a process to determine the amounts. This is roughly accurate. I don't know if it's exactly accurate and so it's roughly the amounts.
 - Q. Let's go through some of that, sir.
 - When Mr. Kohn was funding the litigation, you said you were making about 15,000 a month, correct?

2

- 1 A. I think for most of the time I was making 10,000 a month.
 - Q. Between ten and 15, correct, sir?
- 3 A. I think it was 10,000 most of the time.
- 4 Q. Sir, the amounts you claim here, let's look at page 14.
- 5 | That's the English language version of these documents.
- The amounts you claim here every month for every one of these months in 2012 is 35,000 for your professional
- 8 services; is that correct, sir?
- 9 A. That was the idea, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Am I correct that in January 2012 you're claiming that you
- 11 | spent 24,000 on transportation expenses?
- 12 A. I couldn't answer that question. I think at that month I
- 13 | had that amount of transportation expenses that was
- 14 unreimbursed. I don't think it was from that particular month
- 15 \parallel only.
- 16 | Q. And, sir, can you please explain to the Court what is the
- 17 difference between the 35,000 in professional services you're
- 18 claiming for January 2012 and the 24,000 and change you're
- 19 | claiming for professional fees and expenses; do you know what
- 20 | the difference is?
- 21 | A. I think the answer is no. Mr. Rizack put this together,
- 22 | but I certainly was not double charging for professional fees.
- 23 There was some other expense involved.
- 24 | Q. And am I correct, sir, that you're claiming, as someone
- 25 under constant pressure of lack of resources, that in

Donziger - cross

- January 2012 you should be owed over a hundred thousand dollars in fees, services, and expenses?
- A. Well, because I was owed that. I had no resources. I was putting money out.
 - Q. Sir, let me ask you this. Can you turn to page 25 of this document.

Can you see there, sir, these are the itemized expenses for June of 2012, correct, sir, correct?

A. I don't know, sir. What are you looking at?

and services and fees of June 2012, correct?

- Q. Well, first look at page 24, and that's the potential invoice that's been created for you to cover expenses in June
- 13 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

19

- Q. And then the next page itemizes the expenses, correct, sir, for June 2012; do you see that, sir?
- 16 A. I see a chart. Oh, yes, I do.
 - Q. Now, sir, can I ask you, do you see there where it says purpose of meals and persons on the right-hand column, the second to last one says Pablo.

20 Do you see that, sir?

- 21 | A. Mm-hmm.
- 22 | Q. That's Pablo Fajardo, correct?
- 23 A. I assume.
- Q. And this is an expense, expenses for June 29, 2012,
- 25 | correct, sir?

- 1 A. I think it's June 28.
- 2 | Q. June 28, 2012, correct, sir?
- 3 A. That's what it says.
- 4 | Q. Can you tell us what you and Mr. Fajardo were doing that
- 5 you had a \$443.36 breakfast on June 28, 2012 that you are
- 6 saying you're going to bill back to the clients later, can you
- 7 | tell me what you were doing then?
- 8 MR. GOMEZ: Objection, relevance.
- 9 A. I can tell you what I was doing, yes.
- 10 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. It goes to
- 11 credibility.
- 12 | Q. Can you tell me where you incurred that \$443 breakfast with
- 13 Mr. Fajardo?
- 14 A. If I remember correctly, I think we, in Quito, we hosted a
- 15 | breakfast for the press corps.
- 16 | Q. And, sir, can I also ask you, where it was that you had a
- 17 | \$437 lunch on June 5 that you're now planning to bill back to
- 18 | the Lago Agrio plaintiffs?
- 19 A. I don't think that's accurate. It might have been an
- 20 accumulation of various meals that he put in that box, but I
- 21 | haven't checked this for accuracy.
- 22 \parallel Q. So you are planning to put in for \$437 for lunch on June 5,
- 23 | 2012, but that may be multiple lunches?
- 24 | A. I don't know. You know, everything that I instructed
- 25 Mr. Rizack to put together was backed up by receipts and credit

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

- card charges and I'm sure he could answer this, but I don't know what that is for specifically. I haven't looked at it.
 - Q. We're going do come back to these, especially when we have the rest of the documents.
 - Now, sir, I want to go to PX7701. Can you tell the Court what that is, sir, what is that document, sir?
 - A. If I remember correctly, this is a document that I asked
 Mr. Rizack to put together trying to reconstruct all the
 expenditures in the case for these years.
- 10 Q. These are actual expenses?
- 11 A. Excuse me, can I finish?
- 12 Q. Sure.
- A. That he could reconstruct from my records, but they
 wouldn't necessarily be all the case expenditures because other
 money was being spent through other sources.
- Q. But just so we're clear, these are actual expenditures that were made and paid for, correct, sir?
- A. This was Mr. Rizack's best effort to reconstruct some
 admittedly disorganized financial records that I had, and I
 don't know if this is entirely accurate. I was trying to get
 at the time at least a rough sense of what had been spent so I
 could convey that to the clients and so I could understand it
 myself.
 - Q. And you just testified that you've been "operating under constant pressure for lack of resources" going back to the

- inception of this RICO case at the beginning of 2011, correct, sir?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. And am I right that -- and this is turning now to page 11
- 5 of 17, this is the English language version -- that from 2007
- 6 to 2013, you on the Lago Agrio Chevron case spent over
- 7 | \$21.4 million, correct, Mr. Donziger?
- A. That's roughly accurate, but it was de minimis compared to our expenses.
- 10 | Q. Sir, sir, I just asked you yes or no.
- 11 And, sir, I want you to go to page 16, this is 2011,
- 12 | the year you just testified you were already operating under
- 13 constant pressure of lack of resources. Am I correct, sir,
- 14 | that you on the Lago Agrio Chevron team spent over
- 15 | \$10.4 million that year?
- 16 | A. Well.
- 17 | Q. Yes or no, sir?
- 18 A. I don't know if that's accurate. All I can say is there
- 19 were times during that year that I was flat-out broke and had
- 20 to borrow money.
- 21 MR. MASTRO: Move to strike, your Honor.
- 22 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I think that's fairly
- 23 responsive to what he was asked. It wasn't a yes or no
- 24 question.
- 25 THE COURT: Denied.

Donziger - cross

- Q. Mr. Donziger, isn't it a fact that in 2012, you on the Lago
 Agrio Chevron team spent over \$6.4 million?
- 3 A. With the caveat that this is an estimate put together by
- 4 Mr. Rizack that is a rough approximation based on limited
- 5 records. That's what Mr. Rizack came up with, yes.
- Q. Am I correct, sir, that in spring of this year, you found a
- 7 | new funding source, a British firm, for the Lago Agrio Chevron
- 8 | litigation, correct?
- 9 A. There was a new funding source, but it was found not by me
 10 but by the clients, directly with the clients.
- 11 Q. Woodsbridge is the name of it, correct, sir?
- 12 | A. No.

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 Q. What's the name of it, sir?
 - MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I object on relevance grounds. I think funding sources at the present time don't seem to have anything to do with allegations in the complaint.
- 17 | THE COURT: What's the relevance?
 - MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, it has to do both with the witness's credibility for having just sworn to your Honor that he's been operating under constant pressure of lack of resources. It also has to do with not only questioning his credibility, but also that they have plenty of resources even though he's constantly claiming he can't comply with court orders because he says he has none.
 - THE COURT: What about it, Mr. Friedman?

Donziger - cross

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think both of Mr. Mastro's arguments are that the fact that he has a funding source now relates to his credibility. I don't follow that.

THE COURT: Well, the argument, it seems to me, is pretty clear in the context of the case.

There was a withdrawal in May by his prior counsel ostensibly on the ground that they weren't being paid. Then all through the spring and summer and fall, Mr. Donziger sought relief of various kinds from the Court claiming that he couldn't do one thing or the other because he lacked resources.

Over and over again the Court said I'd be happy to consider this argument if you provide sworn evidence to back up your claim. Never was anything forthcoming.

And it seems to me that in that context, the question of whether there was funding while he was seeking relief from this Court on the ground that there wasn't is pertinent to credibility.

Now, tell me why that isn't correct.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, I guess I would raise a 403 issue, your Honor, in the sense that Mr. Donziger obviously made a decision not to give you a sworn statement and have you micromanage how he was going to spend his money.

THE COURT: Which presupposes there was money there to spend.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Exactly.

25

by our side.

Donziger - cross

1 THE COURT: And the statement that there wasn't perhaps wasn't the entire truth, if the premise is right. 2 3 MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, and, your Honor, what I'm 4 suggesting is that, you know, the money here for a year is what 5 I -- I don't want to make -- it's all relative, your Honor. 6 I've been to courts where we spent a hundred thousand dollars 7 and that seemed like all the money in the world. In this case, given the way it's been litigated, even \$20 million is a drop 8 9 in the bucket and I've seen what Chevron has submitted to the 10 Court and so I know that. 11 So my point is this, your Honor. Unless we're going 12 to embark upon -- the Court kind of -- Mr. Donziger 13 acknowledges he's always had funding sources. The issue isn't 14 does he have funding sources. 15 THE COURT: I haven't heard that acknowledged. MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, he just did. 16 17 THE COURT: He said that in the spring of 2013 his client found a new funding source. That's exactly what he 18 said. 19 20 MR. FRIEDMAN: Right, right. And there has been 21 funding along the way and how it's been spent has been laid out 22 for the Court up until, say until Mr. Keker left, you've got 23 various -- speaking of Mr. Dahlberg, your Honor, Mr. Dahlberg 24 testified to various expenditures in his report that were made

Donziger - cross

THE COURT: I don't remember the exact language, but doesn't Mr. Donziger's witness statement assert that Mr. Dahlberg's testimony essentially was a fantasy or words that that effect?

MR. FRIEDMAN: It says much of it is a fantasy, yes.

THE COURT: But now I'm to rely on it.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm not saying -- no. What I'm saying, your Honor, is there's no question that there's been money. The question is the extent of the money available to accomplish and to meet the sort of litigation effort mounted by Chevron. And if what Mr. Donziger did is he made a decision, at the Court's prodding, said I'd be happy to consider granting you relief if you want to present your funding situation to me and he elected not to do that, I think that's privileged. And for the present time what his funding source is, that sort of thing is privileged and doesn't really relate to credibility.

THE COURT: I don't want to take all evening with this. But when a litigant comes into court and says I have no money and the question is then put, well, what's your financial situation? You can't say that it's privileged. You may have other arguments, but privileged isn't one of them under Bilzerian and you're very well familiar with all the cases. It's the sword and shield doctrine. You can't assert a particular proposition and then invoke privilege to prevent examination of the factual basis for the privilege.

Donziger - cross

Mr. Bilzerian came into court and said I'm not guilty of securities fraud because I acted in good faith. And the Court of Appeals said that by making that assertion, he had waived any privilege there was as to what his lawyers told him about the conduct with respect to which he said he was in good faith. It's an exact application of that rule.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Here's what I think is the most important argument, your Honor. It's a 403 argument and it's simply this, that if you're going to take testimony on funding sources, it's like saying somebody is tall or they're short. It's compared to what.

Here when Mr. Donziger says I have inadequate resources, then it's compared to what. And if we're going to get into the compared to what, so be it. But that's the argument I'm trying to say to the Court is do we really want to go here. If you say, yes, we want to go here, we will go here.

MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, it's not a -- excuse me -- it's not a compared to what. It's the direct representations made by Mr. Donziger and others on this side of the table right up to the first week of this trial that they had no resources to go forward, and they've never made any such showing. I intend to prove that is just demonstrably false and that's why I have a right to ask these questions.

MR. FRIEDMAN: So, your Honor, what we're going to get into, if you want to go into that, is our arrangements for our

25

and 7673.

Donziger - cross

1 copy machine and how we had to do it versus what's --2 THE COURT: I have a feeling nobody is interested in 3 your copy machine. 4 MR. MASTRO: Not going to ask about that, your Honor. 5 MR. FRIEDMAN: My point, your Honor, is if we get into 6 this and for whatever relevance it has, which I would suggest 7 is relatively minor in the big scheme of things, if we get into this, then what it requires is no resources means compared to 8 9 what. It's not an absolute. Obviously, he has the resources 10 to buy a suit of clothes and come to court and to feed himself 11 and to make some copies. Obviously he has some resources. 12 question is compared to what and that's the point I'm saying 13 under 403. I think we're getting pretty far afield. That's my 14 point. THE COURT: I'll sleep on this one. And if anybody 15 wants to submit anything on it, I'll be happy to receive it. 16 17 We'll break with the witness now. 18 What if anything needs to be dealt with this evening before we break? 19 20 MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, just two things before we go. 21 THE COURT: You can step down for now, Mr. Donziger. 22 MR. MASTRO: My colleagues remind me I should have 23 moved in exhibits, or many of them I think might already be in 24 evidence, but Plaintiff's Exhibits 169, 558, 806, 2457, 7549,

I also move in 7700 and 7701 now that I -- they were admitted subject to connection. I believe I have connected them.

And finally, your Honor, I believe that the sanctions hearing page that should be coming into evidence is page 136.

I think the record might have said 13.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And, your Honor, just a procedural question, I would guess, though I haven't gone back and looked.

THE COURT: One thing at a time.

The correction on the sanctions page, unless someone has an objection, is accepted. Any objection, page 136?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No, your Honor.

MR. GOMEZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now.

MR. FRIEDMAN: That was my question though, your Honor, about that procedurally. I think that's a page that's been designated by Chevron as just like a deposition has been designated. I think it's gone to the Court, so I'm not exactly sure what we're doing when you accept that into evidence.

THE COURT: I think the answer is belt and suspenders, is that right, Mr. Mastro?

MR. MASTRO: Yes. I don't think we designated the entire page, your Honor. We did designate many hours of Mr. Donziger's deposition testimony.

THE COURT: Please don't take many hours telling me

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 things I don't need to know. 2 MR. MASTRO: No problem, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Is there any objection as to 7549, 7673, 4 7700 or 7701 at this point? 5 MR. FRIEDMAN: No, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: They are all received. 7 MR. MASTRO: 7559. 8 THE COURT: 7559. 9 MR. MASTRO: Thank you, your Honor. 10 MR. FRIEDMAN: No objection.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 7549, 7673, 7700, 7701, 7559 received in evidence)

THE COURT: We're not done yet.

Now, I'm glad you mentioned 169 and 806 because I had a question about them. I do believe they have come in earlier, but Plaintiff's Exhibit 169 is listed twice in the plaintiff's exhibit list, once with a hash mark after the letter number and once with the letter R after the number.

MR. MASTRO: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, the one with the hash mark bears in the heading under the heading exhibit description the words for identification only. But in the column in which Chevron articulates the bases for admissibility, it seems obvious that in some parts it is offered for the truth of the matters asserted and in other parts it's not offered for the truth of

parts that follow for truth.

the matters asserted.

MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And then there are various other arguments about admissibility; and the only objections made with respect to it are relevance, hearsay, and privilege. The privilege has already been disposed of. Relevance I'll deal with later.

What is the significance, if any, of the legend for identification only and why are there two versions of this exhibit here and what is the meaning of these two little different designations?

MR. MASTRO: Certainly, your Honor. Sorry for the confusion. What we did with the diary — and we have a series of exhibits that follow 169 that are excerpts from the diary, but in originally preparing for a jury trial, we were not going to offer the entire diary. But now what we have done is because it is a bench trial not offer it for the truth of the matters asserted, but the entirety of the diary should be available to the Court. And then we have separately designated particular entries that we're offering for the truth of the matters asserted, your Honor, in sequence on the exhibit list.

THE COURT: So that would be 170 and following?

MR. MASTRO: Correct, your Honor. So that was the intention, not to offer it for the truth, only the individual

THE COURT: Well, I think I understand. So 169 hash

```
mark is the whole document.
1
 2
               MR. MASTRO: Correct, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: You're not offering that for the truth.
 4
               MR. MASTRO: Correct.
 5
               THE COURT: But in 170 and many following, you're
      offering pieces of it for the truth.
6
 7
               MR. MASTRO: Correct, your Honor.
               THE COURT: What's 169R?
 8
9
               MR. MASTRO: That's a redacted version, your Honor.
10
      It's a combined version of all the ones that follow.
11
      the redacted 169 with all the little pieces that follow that we
12
     marked separately as exhibits redacting.
13
               THE COURT: I'm so happy I have so many copies of it.
14
               And then we have 806.
15
               MR. MASTRO: Same principle, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. So 806 hash mark is the whole
16
17
      document, but 806R is the part that you are offering for the
18
      truth of the matters asserted.
19
               MR. MASTRO: Correct, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: All right. So that takes care of those.
21
               Now, 2457.
22
               MR. MASTRO: It's not offered for the truth, your
23
              That's one Mr. Donziger prepared his responses not to
      Honor.
24
      remember.
25
               THE COURT: Well, we can do without the sarcastic
```

```
comments from both sides.
1
 2
               MR. MASTRO: Sorry, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. So 2457 is received, the
 4
      document written by Mr. Donziger, but not for the truth of the
5
     matter, right?
6
               MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
 7
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2457 received in evidence)
               THE COURT: And 558, remind me what that is?
 8
9
               MR. FRIEDMAN: The retainer agreement, your Honor.
10
               MR. MASTRO: That's the retainer agreement, your
11
      Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. And unless there's objection,
13
      that's received as an agreement between the parties.
14
               MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
15
               MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Right?
17
               MR. GOMEZ: Yes, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Right, Mr. Friedman?
19
               MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, your Honor.
20
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 558 received in evidence)
21
               THE COURT: Okay. That takes care of that. What else
22
      tonight?
23
               MR. MASTRO: Your Honor, I did want to be heard on the
24
      issue with Mr. Rizack's documents.
25
               THE COURT: Fire away.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think it's within the Court's right to direct in these extraordinary circumstances under 502 that we should be allowed to review them without any waiver on the defendant's part any privilege claim, and to the extent we wanted to offer any of those documents, then the Court could rule on the privilege claim then. We have both issues of crime fraud and waiver that should really answer this completely.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor.

MR. MASTRO: They haven't offered any showing it's privileged. Financial information in the hands of someone denominated accountant.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Here is my understanding, your Honor, based on conversations and emails with Mr. Rizack. He received a subpoena for all accounting documents. He produced a bunch. He told me that he had withheld some bills and at the time I didn't know what they were and I was --

THE COURT: Bills by Rizack to Donziger or other bills?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Lawyer bills, mostly.

THE COURT: Well, lawyer bills. What lawyer for whom?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm about to say. They're some of them are bills for people who were hired to do 1782, like I think one is from Tallahassee or someplace. I can't remember where it was from, but they're from all other the place. Some of them were from vendors that weren't getting paid, like court reporters.

So there's a variety of bills and I told him turn over all the bills and he had one question about Keker's bills because they were -- Mr. Keker had bills and he said what I've done is I redacted the itemization and just left the totals.

THE COURT: This is Keker redacting or this is Rizack redacting?

MR. FRIEDMAN: This is Rizack redacting Keker's bills.

And I said I don't know and I didn't see a problem with the totals going in, but there might be privileges to the itemization. That's what I think is in dispute. Now, I have to say --

THE COURT: Are you telling me that the only thing in dispute are the redactions from the Keker bills?

MR. FRIEDMAN: That is my belief. Now, if someone —
if I'm wrong on that, I don't have a hundred percent
confidence. It was a tiny bit of what I've done over the last
couple weeks, but that's my understanding.

THE COURT: You do have my sympathy, Mr. Friedman.

MR. MASTRO: It's the first I'm hearing that is the

only thing. We don't care about the substance of the Keker bills. But we understand that he's withheld, Mr. Rizack, over 200 separate documents. That can't be Keker bills. He wasn't in the case that long.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I didn't see -- what he showed me total was maybe 200 pages. But most of it my understanding is he was going to turn over. I think the only thing we dispute is the Keker itemization. If they don't want it, I think I can make a call to Mr. Rizack and be done with it.

(Continued on next page)

DBI8CHE7

MR. MASTRO: I have no problem with that, your Honor, and Mr. Friedman I am sure will do that. I am not asking for the itemization of the Keker bills.

THE COURT: Is there any doubt that I have the authority under 502(d) to order disclosure of the Rizack materials that have been withheld, without waiver of any privilege in this or any other litigation, simply for the purpose of allowing Mr. Mastro to see whether he really cares about any of this stuff? And if he doesn't, the whole thing goes away. And if he does, then that will then get litigated. Is there any doubt about my authority to do that?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No doubt about your authority to do that.

THE COURT: I am ahead of you I think.

You confirm with Mr. Rizack what the shape of the table is and you and Mr. Mastro talk. If this whole thing goes away on that basis, a blessing on both your heads. If it doesn't, I am ordering disclosure now under 502(d), without waiver, to Mr. Mastro so that he can see whether there is anything left to fight about. I am hopeful that that won't be necessary, and I am hopeful that if it is necessary, it will be easily and speedily resolved. But let's get it done tonight if we can.

MR. FRIEDMAN: We should be able to.

THE COURT: Anything else?

DBI8CHE7

```
MR. MASTRO: Nothing else tonight, your Honor.
1
                Thank you.
 2
 3
                (Adjourned to November 19, 2013, at 9:30 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JAVIER PIAGUAJE PAYAGUAJE
4	Direct By Mr. Gomez
5	Cross By Mr. Brodsky
6	Redirect By Mr. Gomez
7	STEVEN DONZIGER
8	Direct By Mr. Friedman
9	Cross By Mr. Mastro
10	PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS
11	Exhibit No. Received
12	1800
13	2407R, specified paragraphs 2395
14	2407R 2416
15	6724 2418
16	6714 2420
17	7700 and 7701
18	2241 through 2247 and 6730
19	7019 2444
20	6703 2447
21	559A 2448
22	7549, 7673, 7700, 7701, 7559
23	2457
24	
25	